

HUMANISTIC OUTLOOK GROUP

COVIDISM

«Fear and hope are the two great instruments for the governance of men.»

Jean-Jacques Rousseau

«Fear has always been and will be the surest means of deceiving and enslaving people.»

Paul-Henri Thiry, Baron d'Holbach

«Tyranny is a habit; it is capable of evolvment, and it finally turns into a disease. I assert that the best person may grow coarse and dull because of the habit to the level of a beast. Blood and power besot: callousness and depravity prevail; the most abnormal phenomena become comprehensible and finally sweet to the mind and sensations.»

Fyodor Dostoevsky

«The low soul, emerging from the oppression, itself oppresses.»

Fyodor Dostoevsky

INTRODUCTION

Medica mente non medicamentis
(Heal with your mind, not with medicine.)

Paul Niemeyer

Today humankind is facing a new global challenge by the name of “Pandemic of Coronavirus Infection COVID-19”. In fact, it is a pandemic of fear that has engulfed the world and led to a global political, economic, social and humanitarian crisis, and worst of all to a healthcare crisis. The United Nations (UN), the World Health Organization (WHO), other international bodies, as well as governments of 194 countries appeared powerless in the face of this pandemic.

As of January 20, 2021, 96,012,792 people were infected with COVID-19 according to WHO statistics, which, in our opinion, would make it highly desirable to follow the wise advice of the German physician and hygienist Paul Niemeyer (1832-1890), who said: “Heal with your mind, not with medicine”.

Niemeyer was referring to illnesses that one can cure using his or her mind rather than resorting to medication. According to Chinese medicine, “there is no diabetes, but overeating and lack of exercise”. Professor I.P. Neumyvakin, the founder of Soviet space medicine, believed that many of today’s diseases (such as hypertension, diabetes etc.) are not actually diseases, but rather consequences of an incorrect lifestyle; and hence they can be cured using the mind, namely, by terminating the actions that provoke their occurrence. According to Professor Neumyvakin, good health is based on a strong immune system, while healthy eating, gymnastics and fresh air serve as the basis of a strong immune system. However, what we are witnessing since March 11, 2020 is that our vital need for fresh air has been limited by the recommendations, endless and ineffective quarantine measures proposed by the WHO in order to combat COVID-19. We are advised to wear masks indoors (in offices, factories, shops, pharmacies, restaurants, etc.) and to breathe through masks outside – i.e. through an artificial material that limits the supply of the required amount of oxygen to the human lungs.

The fact that, as of November 20, 2020, the number of deaths per million people from COVID-19 in countries where quarantine measures had been implemented within 8 months prior is higher than in countries with no quarantine measures implemented, suggests that either the WHO recommends ineffective measures against COVID 19, or the so-called COVID-19 pandemic, the emergence of its new waves, as well as global crises, are caused by other reasons.

On November 20, 2020, 1,237 people per one million inhabitants died in San Marino due to COVID-19, 1,197 in Belgium, 872 in Spain, 754 in the USA, 730 in Italy, 672 in France, however the same figure was 609 in Sweden, where no quarantine measures were implemented. In Belarus, where there has been no quarantine since the beginning and where street demonstrations continued uninterrupted for 2 months, there are only 109 deaths per one million inhabitants, i.e. 11 times less than in Belgium. The fact that the number of people infected in Europe is on the rise, whilst at the same time the same figure is decreasing in China (moreover, China celebrated its victory over COVID-19 in September 2020, undoubtedly proves that the WHO recommendations for controlling coronavirus are ineffective, whilst on the other hand measures taken by the Chinese Ministry of Health are paying off. With this in mind, as per Article 1 of its Constitution, the WHO was obliged to prepare a new set of recommendations that would guarantee an end to the COVID-19 pandemic, or recommend that all countries of the world follow the proven measures taken in China.

The WHO should have done the same, because the measures taken by the Chinese leadership not only stopped the spread of the new coronavirus infection in China and contributed to preventing the economic and socio-psychological crisis in the country, but

also enabled its economy to grow further despite financial and economic problems in the rest of the world. Proof of this can be found in the documents below, partly in the World Bank statistics for 2020, which show that China's economy grew by 4.9% compared to 2019, while the economies of the countries that followed WHO recommendations fell (e.g. 21% fall in the UK) and are likely to continue to shrink, which in turn will lead to a decline in living standards in these countries.

Finally, the question arises: if the WHO Director-General warned of new pandemic waves on May 1, 2020 (see WHO website), and on May 14, 2020 he said COVID-19 was causing economic and psychosocial crises, then why the WHO is not posting new recommendations that could prevent existing crises from deepening as a result of expected new pandemic waves?

CHAPTER I

Failure of the World Health Organization to achieve the goals set forth in its own Constitution undermines the success of the UN in achieving the goals set forth in the UN Charter

In accordance with Article 1(3)(4) , of the Charter of the United Nations of October 24, 1945, the World Health Organisation¹ aims to achieve the following objectives:

“(3) To achieve international cooperation in solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion; and

(4) To be a center for harmonizing the actions of nations in the attainment of these common ends.”

In accordance with Article 1 of the WHO Constitution of April 7, 1948, *“The objective of the World Health Organization (hereinafter called the Organization) shall be the attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health“*.

It is clear that the World Health Organization (which makes recommendations to the healthcare authorities of 194 countries), despite considerable efforts and huge financial expenditure, has not been able to achieve the goal set forth in Article 1 of its own Constitution in the course of its 72 years of existence.

A clear manifestation of the incompetence of the WHO are reflected in its actions and statements of its authorities in 2020 with respect to COVID-19. Particularly, on March 11, 2020, the Director-General of the WHO announced the emergence of pandemic COVID-19, on May 1, 2020 (as mentioned above) an announcement was made about the possibility of subsequent pandemic waves, as well as a worldwide socio-economic crisis that had emerged due to COVID-19. On May 14 the Director-General of the WHO issued a press-release regarding the previously issued policy brief of the UN *“COVID-19 and the need for action on mental health”*², expressing his concern over the negative impact of the pandemic on mental health of people around the world and acknowledging the need for significant resources³ in order to overcome the crisis in this area.

Such statements of the WHO director-general can be interpreted as an admission of the fact that the ineffective actions of the organisation under his leadership undermine the achievement of one of the objectives set out in Article 1 of the UN Charter: *“solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights”*.

As we can see, in order to protect against COVID-19, since March 11, 2020, the WHO has been recommending self-isolation, wearing masks and other measures for a period of 11 months, which, in the long-term could lead to a weakening of the immune system and consequently be a cause of the deterioration of general health, and at the same time, this could be seen as the greatest mass violation of human rights in history.

Typically, the indicators for other serious diseases (and even the official statistics on the WHO website) are by no means comforting either, thus proving the inability of the organisation to ensure *“the attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health.”*:

1 <https://www.un.org/ru/sections/issues-depth/health/index.html>

2 https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/policy_brief_-_covid_and_mental_health_russian.pdf

3 <https://www.who.int/news/item/14-05-2020-substantial-investment-needed-to-avert-mental-health-crisis>

1. According to WHO figures 422 million people worldwide currently suffer from diabetes. In 2016, this disease caused 1.6 million deaths. By 2030, diabetes will be the 7th leading cause of death worldwide. (https://www.who.int/health-topics/diabetes#tab=tab_1)
2. According to WHO forecasts the number of cancer cases will continue to increase from 14 million in 2012 to 22 million in the coming decades. (https://www.who.int/health-topics/cancer#tab=tab_1)
3. According to the WHO, as of July 6, 2020, HIV remains a major global public health issue with nearly 33 million victims to date. (<https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hiv-aids>)

Russian educator, writer, founder of academic pedagogy K.D. Ushinsky (1823-1870) writes in his book “My system of personal education”: *“One cannot demand from medical science that there were no cases of early death or epidemics ; one cannot demand from education that there were no particular cases of tainted morality, disregard to ideas or truths or to any social shortcomings which, just like the epidemic, often have their causes in circumstances beyond the control of physicians or personal education; however if medical science was not able to warn about, nor protect against, nor cure diseases, then what purpose would medical departments serve? ”*

Indeed – *if medical science was not able to warn about, nor protect against, nor cure diseases, then what purpose would medical departments serve?* And in this respect the question arises: why does the World Health Organisation even exist, if its activities can neither prevent, nor protect against or help cure diseases, or in other words, if the WHO is actually unable to achieve the goals set forth in its own Constitution? It is clear, that the time has come for urgent, effective national and international actions to ensure that the World Health Organisation fulfils its purpose and is able to achieve the goals set forth in its Constitution, – or disband the WHO because during 72 years of its history it was not able to meet a single one of these objectives.

CHAPTER II

Humanistic Outlook Group

Humanistic Outlook Group (HOG) was founded by the authors of this publication, who are seriously concerned about the current trends that lead to the disappearance of humanistic values and are guided by the desire to eradicate existing global problems and to prevent even more severe economic, social, political, humanitarian and demographic crises in the future. The main objective of HOG is to put an end to the ongoing cold war (which could also be called the “Third World War”) and to implement the transition of mankind from the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus. To this end, HOG proposes the implementation of a number of short-term and long-term pilot programmes, particularly the completion of an education reform, development of the science of education, democratisation of international and national legislation and the creation a global system of recording, analysing and monitoring data regarding the level of humanism of citizens of the world in order to prepare so-called “humanistic certificates”.

CHAPTER III

HOG forecast of expected crises and Address to the UN Secretary General in December 2018

As a result of a number of years of work, the Humanistic Outlook Group has concluded that the main reason for all existing global problems (except, of course, natural disasters) is the decline of humanistic values in society, the disappearance of a natural sense of solidarity among people, and the rise of instincts of uncontrolled greed and actions of evil. All of these phenomena have contributed to the emergence and development of a virtual world view that leads to the loss of humanistic values and, in fact, takes people back into a way of thinking characteristic of the feudal era.

On December 11, 2018, the HOG sent an official letter to the UN Secretary-General to support the implementation of this programme, based on the assumption that only the United Nations would be able to implement an international programme of such scale, as transitioning from the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus.

Akaki Tsereteli
 14 Kilatók utja,
 Leanyfalu 2016
 Hungary
cereteli@freemail.hu
 +36 30 650 20 99

Humanism is only a habit, a result of civilisation. It might disappear completely.

Fyodor Dostoevsky

The Secretary-General of the United Nations
António Manuel de Oliveira Guterres

11 December 2018

Dear Secretary-General

Our world faces a great challenge of turning Dostoevsky's word into the self-fulfilling prophecy. Loosing humanism is a great danger for the current world, the mandate of which is largely trusted to the organisation that you lead. According to the Article 1 of the Charter of the United Nations (26 Oct 1945) the main purpose of the UN is "to achieve international co-operation in solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion".

Regardless the colossal amount and efforts spent by the UN for the past 73 years, as well as more than a half a trillion USD combined budget, the number of global problems increase instead of reducing; mainly because of the following root causes:

- Existing educational system and certain legal norms, including the UN Charter, do not resist the challenges of the contemporary world;
- after the millennia, human beings continue to develop virtual thinking as opposed to the responsible, sound outlook;
- trends of disappearance of humanistic values are increasing.

Considering the circumstances above, the UN and the governments of its member states, despite their great willingness and attempts, in the immediate future, won't be able to improve the existing economic and social realities, won't be able to stop the dynamic rising demographic crisis, economic and financial crises, terrorist attacks and conflicts; won't be able to avoid civil wars and revolutions because the solution to these issues is only the epochal transformation from Homo sapiens into Homo human, that could only be achieved by the following steps:

- Establishment of entities that determine citizen titles based on humanistic values;
- reform the current educational systems;
- development of the special pedagogical science dedicated to *upbringing* instead of education;
- improvement of the existing international and state legal norms.

We believe that by implementing these pillars of our vision within a reasonable time, we will be able to avoid global problems as well as accelerate the necessary epochal transformation from Homo sapiens to the Homo Human era.

Please consider our vision within your competence and support us to present it to the Diplomatic Representations of the UN member states to support this global initiative multilaterally for the better world tomorrow. We would be glad if competent bodies within the UN shew their interest in understanding our program in detail and collaborated with us to devise further practical steps. We strongly believe that the United Nations is the very platform that has the proper mandate and interest in supporting initiatives of such scale.

Yours sincerely

A. TSERETELI

Akaki Tsereteli

&


 Otari Kakhidze

Letter of the HOG to the UN Secretary-General - 11.12.2018

CHAPTER IV

UN response to the HOG Address of December 11, 2018

In response to a letter from the HOG in January 2019, the following response was received from the UN of Public Information Team (Department of Public information):

United Nations  Nations Unies

HEADQUARTERS NEW YORK • SIEGE YORK, NY 10017

TEL.: 1 (212) 963.4475 • FAX: 1 (212) 963.0071

16 January 2019

Greetings from the Public Inquiries Team,

On behalf of the Secretary-General, thank you for your letter which was recently referred to this office for reply. The contents of your letter and proposals have been read and noted, and we thank you for sharing your thoughts with us.

While we appreciate the purpose of your query, we hope you will understand that the Secretary-General does not act without the support of the Member States. Any proposal to be considered by the Members of the United Nations must first be presented to the Ambassador of a Member State for his or her consideration prior to formal inscription on the Organization's agenda and a subsequent vote by the Membership. You may send your proposal to your country's representative office before the United Nations. Please find the contact information for all UN Member States on the following website: www.un.org/en/member-states/

Regrettably, we must also advise you that the United Nations does not endorse materials or projects generated outside the Organization.

We wish you every success in the completion of your project, and thank you for taking the initiative of writing to us.

Best regards,

Public Inquiries Team
Department of Public Information

Answer of the UN of 16.01.2019.

* * *

In the view of the HOG, the response received is unfortunate and puzzling as to why the Public Information Team, that is responsible for reviewing public complaints, did not forward the HOG forecast regarding the expected crises to the relevant UN departments and it resulted in the HOG programme, that was designed to prevent subsequent global crises from occurring, not being either supported or implemented.



CHAPTER V

Developments of 2020 that confirmed the Forecasts of the HOG and addressing of permanent representatives of UN Member states on April 5 2020

Unfortunately, the forecast of HOG as outlined in the UN letter of December, 11, 2018 has gradually become reality. Since March 2020, the financial and economic crisis has begun in almost all countries of the world except for China (and those African countries where China is building ports). Subsequently, the HOG's forecast for the emergence of mass disturbances and a new surge of terrorist acts have become reality and continue to do so.

As a group, we are convinced that humanity could have avoided the current global crisis, had the UN considered the proposals of the HOG and initiated the implementation of the programme for the transition from the epoch of Homo sapiens to that of Homo humanus back in 2019.

After the HOG forecast came true, and when in March 2020 the world faced a number of global crises as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic outbreak, the HOG sent an appeal to the Secretary General of the UN, the Director-General of the WHO and to permanent representatives of 194 member states of the United Nations with specific proposals for measures to combat pandemic of fear caused by the pandemic COVID-19. The HOG believed that it was an artificially created pandemic of fear, not a new coronavirus pandemic, that was the real cause of the current crises.



HUMANISTIC OUTLOOK GROUP

Humanistic Outlook Group
 14 Kilatók utja
 Leányfalu 2016 Hungary
 E-mail: cereteli@freemail.hu
 Tel: +36 30 650 20 99

5 April 2020

Secretary General of the United Nations
 Antonio Guterres

Director-General of the World Health Organization
 Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus

Permanent Representative of
 Germany to the United Nation
 Christoph Heusgen

Subject: The Real Opportunity to Fight a Pandemic of Fear Caused by the Present COVID-19 Pandemic, and to Prevent Possible Negative Consequences, such as Global Financial, Economic, Social, Cultural and Humanitarian Crises

Your Excellency

In accordance with the purposes of the United Nations as set out in the UN Charter (Articles 1, 2, 55, 56) and the WHO Constitution (Articles 1 and 2(f), 2(g)), in order to fight more effectively against the pandemic of a new coronavirus infection and to prevent a pandemic of fear that threatens to develop into a global financial, economic, social, cultural, and humanitarian crises; and to avoid possible mass disturbances on a global scale (which, cumulatively, would account to the largest human rights violation since the Second World War), the Humanist Outlook Group (HOG) considers it necessary to take the following urgent measures:

1. The World Health Organisation, as the governing and coordinating body in the field of global health, to organise an online consilium of the world's leading specialists (scientists-virusologists and

Page 1/2

HOG address to the UN and WHO leaders and Permanent Representatives of 194 UN member states (05.04.2020), p. 1

1. The World Health Organisation, as the governing and coordinating body in the field of global health, to organise an online consilium of the world's leading specialists (scientists-virusologists and epidemiologists, physicians, etc.) in the field of infectious and allergic diseases related to pneumonia (inflammation of the lungs of infectious origin) in order to obtain and analyse comprehensive and reliable information about the new coronavirus infection.
2. In order to prevent the emergence of a pandemic of fear, it is necessary to ensure that full information about the consilium (online viewing and listening, publication of the consilium in the print media) is available to populations of all Member States.
3. There is also a need to ensure the establishment of a single global statistical database for the period 1/01/2020 to 1/04/2020 to provide reliable information on mortality and risk factors of the
 - Mortality from complications caused by the new coronavirus COVID-19 infection;
 - Mortality from other infectious diseases;
 - Number of deaths during childbirth;
 - Number of deaths during abortion;
 - Number of deaths of children under the age of 5;
 - Number of deaths from complications caused by HIV/AIDS infection;
 - Number of deaths due to cancer;
 - Number of deaths from malaria and other "tropical" infections;
 - Number of deaths from hunger;
 - Number of deaths due to lack of clean water;
 - Additional relevant statistical data may also be needed.

The Humanist Outlook Group is deeply concerned about the situation and hopes that the efforts of the world community, first of all the United Nations, in combating new global threats, and in particular, the implementation of the proposals set out in this letter, will contribute to preventing the emergence of a pandemic of fear in the world. In our opinion, this will also be the first significant step towards transforming the era of Homo Sapiens into the era of Homo Human, as we addressed to the UN Secretary General in the letter dated 11/12/2018 (Annex 1).

As recommended by the UN reply 16/01/2019 GA-1B-031 (Annex 2), the copy of our letter is also sent to all Permanent Representatives of Member States to the United Nations.

Yours faithfully

A. TSERETELI

A. Tsereteli

The Director of the Humanist Outlook Group

Page 2/2

HOG address to the UN and WHO leaders and Permanent Representatives of 194 UN member states (05.04.2020), p. 2

* * *

While sending this letter, the Humanistic Outlook Group has assumed that supporting our proposals in this letter, as well as implementing the overall HOG programme for the transition from the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus, would certainly minimise the damage from current crises, while avoiding more serious global problems in political, socio-economic, cultural and spiritual fields for the future.

CHAPTER VI

The Fate of the HOG Letter dated April 5, 2020

It should be noted that the process of delivering letters to the 194 Permanent Representatives of the UN Member States in New York went astonishingly.

Although the letters were sent as registered priority mail thus requiring mandatory notification of delivery, only 30 of the 194 were delivered to the recipients.

Dear Madam, Sir,

We thank you for sharing with the Mission of Spain the priorities of the Humanistic Outlook Group with regards to the response to COVID 19.

It is indeed a time to unite and strengthen a coordinated response.

Sincerely,

Permanent Mission of Spain to the United Nations

Tel: + 1 212 661 10 50

Email: rep.nuevayorkonu@maec.es

245 East 47th Street, 36th floor

New York, NY 10017

De: Humanistic Outlook Group [humanistic.outlook@gmail.com]

Enviado: lunes, 06 de abril de 2020 18:42

Para: . Rep. ONU Nueva York

Asunto: Letter from the Humanistic Outlook Group

The response of the Permanent Representative of Spain to the UN

Another 30 were returned to the sender due to the absence of the addressee. It appeared impossible to find out the fate of the remaining letters in the mail tracking system.

A total of thirty envelopes returned were marked “no recipient found”. This would have been understandable if we were talking about small countries (which may not have a permanent residence in New York), but the fact that the postal service could not find a permanent representative of Russia and found a representative of Jamaica is puzzling and even raises suspicion.

CHAPTER VII

The HOG Address to the Heads of State of the «G20» and the Leaders of countries of the European Union on April 30, 2020

The introduction of quarantine measures in the spring of 2020 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic is known to have led to a further significant deterioration in the global economic situation. The HOG letter of April 5, 2020 was unsuccessful, with only a few formal replies from 194 countries.

On April 30, 2020, the Humanistic Outlook Group launched a new initiative as set out in a letter to the heads of the G20 Member States and countries of the European Union. The essence of the new proposal was to amend Paragraph 2 of Article 17 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which, according to the HOG, would eliminate the possibility of mass violations of human rights, including property rights, as a consequence the economic crises.



HUMANISTIC OUTLOOK GROUP

14 Kilitók utja Leányfalu 2016 Hungary | +36 30 650 20 99 | www.humanisticoutlook.com | cereteli@freemail.hu

30 April 2020

The White House
The President of the United States
Donald Trump

SUBJECT: PROPOSED MEASURES FOR THE PREVENTION OF ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CRISES

Dear Mr President Trump

The Humanistic Outlook Group warned the General Secretariat of the UN about the grave danger of the expected economic and financial crisis even a year ago and proposed the necessary preventive measures in the letter GA-1B-031 dated by 11.12.2018.

«Taking into account that the reason for emergence of economic and financial crises is the absence of a law that prohibits their deliberate organisation» (from the book The Humanistic Manifesto); if we would like to avoid the devastating consequences of the current crisis caused now by COVID-19 and to prevent the more severe consequences of subsequent crises, it is necessary to initiate immediately a reform of Article 17 (2) of the Declaration of Human Rights and other national and international laws that are designed to protect property rights. In particular:

1. The law should not contribute to the legalization of the appropriation of property through economic and financial crises
2. The law should provide appropriate punishments for organizing such crises deliberately!

SPECIFIC MEASURES REQUIRED : Adoption of international and national legislative acts that provide for appropriate criminal responsibility:

- a) for the deliberate organization and participation in organizing economic and financial crises;
- b) for the appropriation of someone else's property as a result of economic and financial crises;
- c) for the action/omission causing the failure of the return (restitution) of the property illegally alienated/seized from its rightful owners as a result of the economic and financial crisis regardless of the statute of limitations

PROPOSED NORM OF ARTICLE 17 (2) OF THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS:

Existing norm	Proposed norm
No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.	No one shall be arbitrarily and also by the effects of economic and financial crises deprived of his property.

We hope that you will express interest in our initiative so that our group will present its version of the draft law at the national and international levels and implement the pilot and long terms program to avoid the loss of housing and work for hundreds of millions of citizens, the loss of their family and friends, homelessness, increased crime, psychological and mental illnesses, suicides and, most importantly, to prevent the situation in which the suffering would escalate into mass protests, as after the global crisis of 2008.

Yours sincerely

A. TSERETELI


Akaki Tsereteli
The Director of the Humanistic Outlook Group

Annex 1: Reasons of economic and financial crisis

Letter of the HOG of 30.04.2020.



Annex 1: CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES OF THE ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CRISIS



Source: The Humanistic Manifesto
Copyright © Akaki Tsereteli All rights Reserved

* * *

Unfortunately, it has to be concluded that even this letter failed to find support and was not taken into account – thus none of the proposed measures were implemented, which could have prevented an already difficult situation in the world from worsening. Below are some of the responses of individual countries.

Letter of 30 April, 2020 to President Michael D. Higgins entitled Proposed Measures for the Prevention of Economic and Financial Crises

Linda Farrell (Presidents)
Linda.Farrell@president.ie

2020. máj. 25. 18:46 (19 órával ezelőtt)

OIFIG ARD RÚNAÍ AN UACHTARÁIN
BAILE ÁTHA CLIATH 8
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY GENERAL TO THE PRESIDENT
DUBLIN 8

Mr. Akaki Tsereteli
Director
Humanistic Outlook Group
ceretli@freemail.hu

Dear Mr. Tsereteli,

Thank you for your letter of 30 April, 2020 to President Michael D. Higgins, the contents of which have been noted.

Your thoughtfulness in writing is greatly appreciated.

Yours sincerely,

Linda Farrell
 Secretariat

Response from the Office of the President of Ireland



1227689

00135.210715/2020-03



MINISTÉRIO DA MULHER, DA FAMÍLIA E DOS DIREITOS HUMANOS
Secretaria Nacional de Proteção Global
Departamento de Proteção e Defesa dos Direitos Humanos

OFÍCIO N.º 575/2020/DEPDDH/SNPG/MMFDH

Brasília, 17 de junho de 2020.

Ao Gabinete da Secretaria Nacional de Proteção Global

Assunto: Propostas de alteração de normas de direitos de propriedade.

1. Cumprimentando-o cordialmente, faço referência ao OFÍCIO-CIRCULAR Nº 104/2020/GAB.SNPG/SNPG/MMFDH (1212379) que encaminha o Ofício-Circular nº 279/2020/GPPR-DGI/GPPR (1207513) por meio do qual a Diretoria de Gestão Interna do Gabinete Pessoal do Presidente da República remete os Documentos SEI nº 1207508 e nº 1207511, procedente do Grupo Perspectiva Humanista, que trata da sugestão de reforma do Artigo 17 (2) da Declaração Universal de Direitos Humanos da ONU e, ainda, de outras leis nacionais e internacionais que foram criadas para proteger direitos de propriedade, a fim de evitar consequências da crise causada pela pandemia do COVID-19.

2. O Artigo 17 da Declaração Universal dos Direitos Humanos (DUDH) garante o direito à propriedade ao dizer que "1. Todos os seres humanos têm direito à propriedade, sozinho ou em sociedade com outros" e acrescenta ainda que "2. Ninguém será arbitrariamente privado da sua propriedade". O Direito à propriedade foi consagrado como um direito inalienável do ser humano, desdobrando-o em suas duas dimensões básicas. Dessa forma, esse direito é assegurado como meio para garantia de subsistência e também como garante individual contra a arbitrariedade do Estado e de Terceiros.

3. Cabe ressaltar que a Declaração Universal dos Direitos Humanos constitui uma carta de princípios universais que servem de baliza para as condutas do Estado e dos cidadãos. E que em decorrência da característica de universalidade da DUDH, os direitos apresentados em seus 30 artigos se aplicam a todo e qualquer cidadão do mundo, de forma a contemplar as diversas especificidades dos países. Além disso, este instrumento internacional não constitui norma cogente, não cabendo, portanto, uma alteração em seu texto. A regulamentação dos direitos humanos deve se dar em outros instrumentos normativos.

4. No Brasil, o direito de propriedade é assegurado na Constituição Federal ao dizer que "é garantido o direito de propriedade" (art. 5º, inciso XXII), o qual encontra-se elencado no rol de direitos fundamentais. Dessa forma, este direito não pode ser abolido por emenda constitucional, muito embora não seja absoluto, podendo sofrer restrições, como a própria função social (CF artigo 59, § 1º). Nesse caso, são dois os requisitos necessários para que seja alcançada a função social da

propriedade: deve atender a interesses de ordem pública e privada. A desapropriação, por sua vez, é regida por lei, conforme disposto na própria Carta Magna:

A lei estabelecerá o procedimento para desapropriação por necessidade ou utilidade pública, ou por interesse social, mediante justa e prévia indenização em dinheiro, ressalvados os casos previstos nesta Constituição (art. 5º, XXIV da Constituição Federal).

5. Diante disso, mesmo considerando que medidas de atualização de normas nacionais e internacionais sejam oportunas no contexto da pandemia do COVID-19, considera-se que os objetivos da proposta em questão já são alcançados pela Declaração. Ademais, avalia-se tecnicamente equivocada haja vista as características supracitadas da Declaração Universal dos Direitos Humanos e o arcabouço jurídico consolidado já existente em relação ao direito de propriedade no Brasil.

Atenciosamente,

HERBERT BORGES PAES DE BARROS
Diretor



Documento assinado eletronicamente por **Herbert Borges Paes De Barros, Diretor(a) de Proteção e Defesa dos Direitos Humanos**, em 18/06/2020, às 16:39, conforme o § 1º do art. 6º e art. 10 do Decreto nº 8.539/2015.



A autenticidade deste documento pode ser conferida no site <https://sei.mdh.gov.br/autenticidade>, informando o código verificador **1227689** e o código CRC **845A654D**.

Referência: Caso responda este ofício, indicar expressamente o Processo nº 00135.210715/2020-03 SEI nº 1227689
SCS Quadra 09 - Lote C, Ed. Parque Cidade Corporate, Torre-A, 10º Andar - Bairro Asa Sul - Telefone:
CEP 70308-200 Brasília/DF - - <http://www.mdh.gov.br> - E-mail para resposta: protocolo@mdh.gov.br



Casa Civil do Presidente da República

Akaki Tsereteli
Director of the Humanistic Outlook Group

cereteli@freemail.hu

Of. nº 5837

29 MAI 2020

I thank you for your letter dated 30 April, addressed to His Excellency the President of the Portuguese Republic, regarding the *Proposed Measures for the Prevention of Economic and Financial Crises*, which deserved our best attention.

Yours sincerely,

Per The Senior Diplomatic Adviser, epx
Mafilde Banneto

Ana Martinho
Ambassador

Response from the Office of the President of Portugal

Office of the
Prime Minister



Cabinet du
Premier ministre

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0A2

November 10, 2020

Mr. Akaki Tsereteli
Director
Humanistic Outlook Group
Kilatok utja 14, Leanyfalu 2016
HUNGARY

Dear Mr. Tsereteli:

On behalf of Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, I would like to acknowledge receipt of your correspondence regarding property rights in times of economic and financial crises.

For reasons related to the COVID-19 outbreak, our office was unable to provide you with a more timely response. I sincerely regret the delay in processing your letter.

The Prime Minister welcomes people's views. Please be assured that your comments have been carefully considered. While the Prime Minister is unable to reply personally to all of the mail that he receives, he is advised of the opinions offered and issues raised by correspondents.

Thank you for taking the time to write.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "M. Bredeson".

M. Bredeson
Executive Correspondence Officer

Canada

Response from the office of the Prime Minister of Canada

CHAPTER VIII

The HOG Address to leaders of Russia, USA, China, Germany, Great Britain, Belarus on June 15, 2020

Subsequent to the announcement of the Director-General of the WHO on May 1, 2020 about the possibility of new waves of pandemic COVID-19, it became clear that the global crisis would continue for a very long time and have an even more severe effect⁴, the HOG considered its duty to address the leaders of Russia, China, USA, Great Britain and Belarus.

In a letter dated June 15, 2020, the Humanistic Outlook Group argued that the root cause of the global crisis was not merely COVID-19, as stated by the Director-General of WHO on May 1, 2020, but rather the pandemic of fear, provoked primarily by erroneous recommendations of WHO on the maintenance of statistics on cases and deaths from COVID-19, as well as thoughtless, extensive mass media dissemination of these statistics.

In order to put an end to the current pandemic of fear, as well as to prevent its new outbreaks in the future (with special regard to the statement of the WHO Director-General of May 14, 2020 on the mental consequences of pandemic COVID-19)⁵, and to prevent an aggravation of the global economic crisis, the HOG proposed changes to the relevant recommendations of the WHO, in a letter dated March 25, 2020.

In the same letter, the HOG reiterated its readiness to present a large-scale program for the transition from the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus, including specific recommendations for reforming international law and national legislation.

Having taken into account previous negative experience of international mail delivery, the letter was sent to the addressees through their respective diplomatic missions in Hungary.

An exemplary copy of these letters can be found below.

4 <https://www.who.int/news/item/01-05-2020-who-and-european-investment-bank-strengthen-efforts-to-combat-covid-19-and-build-resilient-health-systems-to-face-future-pandemics>

5 See the WHO press release of May 14, 2020 as quoted in Chapter I.



HUMANISTIC OUTLOOK GROUP

Akaki Tsereteli
 14 Kilátók útja,
 Leányfalu
 2016 Hungary
cereteli@freemail.hu
 +36 30 650 20 99

Angela Merkel, the Chancellor of Germany

CC: António Guterres, Secretary-General of the United Nations
Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Director-General of the World Health Organization

15 June 2020

Subject: PREVENTION OF THE PANDEMIC OF FEAR RELATED TO COVID-19, NEW PANDEMICS AND THE TRANSITION FROM THE ERA OF HOMO SAPIENS TO THE ERA OF HOMO HUMANUS

Dear Ms. Chancellor,

The Humanistic Outlook Group (HOG), even back in December 2018, have addressed to the UN Secretary-General (letter № GA-1B-031 from 11.12.2018), predicting the emergence of the global crisis in the near future in the political, socio-economic and humanitarian fields. The HOG also proposed the programme to transform the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus aiming to prevent the emergence of the crises threatening the entire humankind.

The Humanistic Outlook Group (HOG), even back in December 2018, have addressed to the UN Secretary-General (letter № GA-1B-031 from 11.12.2018), predicting the emergence of the global crisis in the near future in the political, socio-economic and humanitarian fields. The HOG also proposed the programme to transform the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus aiming to prevent the emergence of the crises threatening the entire humankind.

With respect to the reply of the UN Secretary-General that “the Secretary-General does not act without the support of the Member States” and considering the emerging crisis, this April the HOG wrote to the leaders of 196 Member States of the UN proposing resolutions of problems arisen in the economic and social sphere as well as mental health of people. Once again, with the current hardships prevailing, the Humanistic Outlook Group is committed to reiterate its recommendations to overcome the existing crisis as well as to draw renewed attention towards the program for transition into the era of Homo humanus aiming to prevent the emergence of heavier global challenges in the future.

Contrary to the statement of the Director-General of the World Health Organization from 14.05.2020, the HOG believes that the main reason for the global psychological, social and economic crises is not the COVID-19 pandemic, but the Pandemic of Fear 2020, overwhelming the most of countries. Among many other factors, one of the main causes of the Pandemic of Fear is the technical and methodological errors made in the recommendations of World Health Organization dated by 25.03.2020 (“Emergency use of ICD codes for COVID-19 disease outbreak”), which provoked an appalling increase in global morbidity and mortality statistics, which were subsequently widely circulated by the media.

Letter of the HOG dated 15.06.2020, p. 1

The technical and methodological errors in the WHO recommendations, in our opinion, consist in the fact that according to them even the cases in which laboratory examination neither confirmed the COVID-19 nor such examination was conducted at all are being recorded (assigning a COVID-19 code U07.2) in the list of infected and deceased from a novel coronavirus infection (Annex 1).

In order to avoid a further escalation of the crisis and to prevent new pandemics, forecasted by the Director-General of the World Health Organization on 1 May 2020 (Annex 2), the HOG considers it necessary to immediately remove the word "COVID-19" from the WHO recommendations "Emergency use of ICD codes for COVID-19 disease outbreak" for the following cases:

- a) if the cause of death is another disease
- b) if the laboratory confirmation of COVID-19 is inconclusive or not available
- c) if the laboratory confirmation is absent

It is also necessary to indicate the number of recoveries and medical histories of the deceased in the WHO "Situation reports of Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019)" in addition to the number of confirmed cases and deaths (Annex 3).

The current global crisis has shown that in order to prevent the emergence of new challenges threatening to humankind and to achieve the goals stipulated in the fundamental documents of the UN and other international organizations, the time has come to take practical steps to promote the transition to the era of Homo humanus. Primarily the urgent measures should be taken to reform international law, in particular the UN and WHO charters, as well as national legislation, which should provide for accountability and punishment of officials for actions that contribute to global crises.

Since their establishment (in 1946 and 1948) the UN and the WHO, despite tremendous efforts and enormous financial resources dedicated to them, have not been able to accomplish the goals stipulated by their own charters with regard to "to maintaining international peace and security", "solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character", or "attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health", considering that the situation in the world, instead of improving, keeps deteriorating every year.

Taking into account that the Humanistic Outlook Group's letter addressed to the Secretary-General of the UN in 11.12.2018 did not gain appropriate support while the forecasts contained in the letter regarding financial, economic, social, cultural and humanitarian crisis as well as the emergence of mass disturbances and rising crime levels on a global scale did become reality, the Humanistic Outlook Group, once again, expresses its willingness to present concrete recommendations for the coordinated action by all nations with the purpose of terminating the Pandemic of Fear 2020, preventing new pandemics, and proposing the programme for the transition from the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus. The Humanistic Outlook Group believes that if the proposed reforms and practical steps are not initiated immediately, the situation in the world will deteriorate further and humanity will face even more severe global challenges in the future.

Yours faithfully,


A. TSERETELI

Akaki Tsereteli


The Director-General of the Humanistic Outlook Group

Enclosed: Annex 1 - WHO, Emergency use ICD codes for COVID-19 disease outbreak
Annex 2 - WHO, News Release 1 May 2020
Annex 3 - WHO, Situation Report 146, 14 June 2020

Page 2/2



Annex 1 to the letter dated 15.06.2020.⁶



Annex 2 to the letter dated 15.06.2020.⁷

6 <https://www.who.int/classifications/icd/covid19/en/>

7 <https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/01-05-2020-who-and-european-investment-bank-strengthen-efforts-to-combat-covid-19-and-build-resilient-health-systems-to-face-future-pandemic>

Annex 3

https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200614-covid-19-sitrep-146.pdf?sfvrsn=5b89bdad_6



World Health
Organization

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19)

Situation Report – 146

Data as received by WHO from national authorities by 10:00 CEST, 14 June 2020

Highlights

The investigation of a cluster of COVID-19 in Beijing associated with a wholesale market continues. As of 14 June, 16:00 (CEST+6), Chinese authorities reported a total of 77 cases since 11 June, including 2 linked cases in Liaoning Province. See below for more information and [press statement](#) issued on 13 June.

World Elder Abuse Awareness Day takes place every year on 15 June as designated by the United Nations General Assembly. [It is believed that abuse towards older people has risen significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic.](#) This makes a compelling case for [World Elder Abuse Awareness Day's](#) call for decision-makers to develop universally applicable normative standards for the protection of older people.

Situation in numbers (by WHO Region)

Total (new cases in last 24 hours)

Globally	7 690 708 cases (137 526)	427 630 deaths (4 281)
Africa	167 566 cases (6 312)	3 998 deaths (131)
Americas	3 711 768 cases (73 243)	199 252 deaths (2 812)
Eastern Mediterranean	758 551 cases (20 910)	16 640 deaths (380)
Europe	2 398 779 cases (19 821)	188 001 deaths (533)
South-East Asia	455 439 cases (16 091)	12 526 deaths (400)
Western Pacific	197 864 cases (1 149)	7 200 deaths (25)

Annex 3 to the letter dated 15.06.2020.⁸

⁸ https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200614-covid-19-sitrep-146.pdf?sfvrsn=5b89bdad_6

* * *

The lack of response to this letter was no longer surprising and once again confirmed our assumption that the world community was not ready for a decisive and coordinated action to combat the pandemic of fear and the crises that gripped the world, and, in fact, was leaving the decision on urgent global problems to the World Health Organization.

However, it is clear that the activities of this organization are not only ineffective in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic, but often have negative consequences. In addition to a number of arguably erroneous recommendations for combating COVID-19, the management of the WHO makes irresponsible and contradictory statements that do have a considerable effect on public opinion. In particular, since it is scientifically proven that fear may have a negative effect on the human immune system, it cannot be ruled out that statements made by the WHO Director-General on May 1, 2020⁹ about the possibility of future waves of the pandemic had a comprising effect on the immune system of many and, consequently, for it contributed to the increase in the number of cases of COVID-19 infection in the autumn of 2020. Here is yet another example of a message that could increase panic: “WHO and the European Investment Bank strengthen efforts to combat COVID-19 and build resilient health systems to face future pandemics.” (Annex 2 of the above letter). Such a message may unwittingly suggest that WHO would not be able to fight future pandemics on its own without the involvement of the European Investment Bank.

Unfortunately, the leadership of the World Health Organisation continues to make irresponsible statements that reinforce a climate of fear and panic. For example, on November 16, 2020, the WHO Director-General stated that “a vaccine on its own will not end the pandemic”.

Lastly, statements similar to the one made by Hans Kluge, the head of the World Health Organization’s European division in November 2020, which states that “according to WHO statistics, in Europe one person dies every 17 seconds from the effects of COVID-19 infection” is completely unacceptable for the HOG.



⁹ Press release “The WHO and the European Investment Bank join forces in combating COVID -19 and in creating resilient healthcare system in the face of future pandemics” dated May 1, 2020.

CHAPTER IX

Statements by R. Fuellmich

In the summer of 2020, a famous German lawyer Dr. Rainer Fuellmich together with his colleagues established the “Corona virus Commission” Foundation in Germany (Stiftung Corona-Ausschuss)¹⁰.

This is what R. Fuellmich says about his activities¹¹.

Full Transcript¹²

“Hello, I am Reiner Fuellmich and I have been admitted to the Bar in Germany and in California for 26 years. I have been practicing law primarily as a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche Bank, formerly one of the world’s largest and most respected banks, today one of the most toxic criminal organizations in the world; VW, one of the world’s largest and most respected car manufacturers, but today, sadly notorious for its giant diesel fraud; and Kuehne and Nagel, the world’s largest shipping company. We’re suing them in a multimillion dollar bribery case. I’m also one of four members of the German Coronavirus Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this Committee has reviewed a large number testimonials of international scientists and experts in order to find answers to questions about the corona crisis, which more and more people worldwide raise.

All the above-mentioned cases of corruption and fraud committed by the German corporations pale in comparison to extent of the damage that the corona crisis has caused and continues to cause. This corona crisis, according to our current knowledge must be renamed as the “Corona Scandal” and those responsible for it must criminally be held liable and sued for civil damages. On a political level, everything must be committed in order to ensure that no one, ever again would be in a position of being able to defraud humanity or to make any attempts to manipulate us with corrupt agendas. And for this reason, I will now explain to you how and where an international network of lawyers will pursue this largest legal case in history.

The “Corona Scandal”, as it turned out became the biggest crime against humanity. The notion of crimes against humanity was first defined by the Nuremberg Tribunal after World War II, which brought the leading war criminals of Third Reich to trial. Crimes against humanity today are regulated by Section 7 of the International Criminal Code. The three major questions have to be answered in the course of a judicial procedure of the “Corona Scandal”:

1. Is there a corona pandemic or is there only a PCR-test pandemic? Specifically, does a positive PCR-test result mean that the person tested is infected with Covid-19? Or does it mean absolutely nothing with respect to Covid-19 infection?
2. Are the so-called anti-corona measures, such as the lockdown, mandatory face masks, social distancing, and quarantine regulations, imposed in order to protect the world’s population from the coronavirus, or do these measures serve only the purpose of making people panic so that they come to believe – without formulating any questions – that their life is in danger, and as a result in the end, pharmaceutical and tech industries can generate huge profits from the sale of PCR, antigen antibody tests and vaccines, as well as harvest our genetic fingerprints?

10 <https://corona-ausschuss.de/ueber-den-ausschuss/https://corona-ausschuss.de/ueber-den-ausschuss/>

11 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AQMSleXbc1k> ; <http://www.sovross.ru/articles/2036/49993>

12 KARLSRUHE | Rede von Dr. Reiner Fuellmich | Fest für Freiheit, Frieden & Liebe | 04.10.2020
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bK_ftiyJ9v0

3. Is it true that the German government, more than any other government was placed under the influence of the chief ideologist of the corona pandemic, Mr. Drosten, a virologist at Charité hospital in Berlin; Mr. Wieler, a veterinarian and head of the German Robert Koch Institute (RKI), the German equivalent of the American CDC (Center for Disease Control) and Mr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the Head of the World Health Organization (WHO)?

Germany is known as a particularly disciplined country and would have had to become a role model for the rest of the world as a strict observer of and, naturally, successful adherer to coronavirus measures.

There is an urgent need for answers to these three questions, because the allegedly “new and highly dangerous” coronavirus has not yet caused any excess mortality anywhere in the world, and certainly not here in Germany. Anti-coronavirus measures are solely based on PCR-test results (which in fact are all based on the German Drosten tests), have caused the loss of innumerable human lives and have economically destroyed countless companies and individuals around the world.

In Australia, for example, people are thrown into prison if they do not wear a mask or do not wear it properly, as deemed by the authorities. In the Philippines, people who do not wear a mask or do not wear it properly, in this sense, are getting shot in the head.>

Let me first give you a summary of the facts as they stand today. The most important thing in a lawsuit is to establish the facts as they actually happened. This is because the application of the law always depends on the facts in question. If I want to prosecute someone for fraud, I cannot do that by presenting the facts of a car accident. So what in fact happened with respect to the alleged “corona pandemic”?

The facts laid out below are, to a large extent, the result of the work of the “Corona Investigative Committee”. The Committee was founded on July 10, 2020 by four lawyers with the intention of determining the followings, based on expert testimonials of international scientists and other experts:

1. How dangerous is the virus in reality?
2. What is the significance of a positive PCR test?
3. What collateral damage has been caused by the coronavirus measures, both with respect to the state of health of world population and to world economy?

Let me start with a little bit of background information. What happened in May of 2019 and then in early 2020? And what happened 12 years earlier with the swine flu, which many of you may have forgotten about? In May 2019, the stronger of the two parties which govern Germany in a grand coalition, the CDU, held a Congress on Global Health, apparently at the instigation of important players from the pharmaceutical industry and the tech industry. At this Congress, the usual suspects, you might say, gave their speeches. Angela Merkel was there, and the German Secretary of Health, Jens Spahn. But, some other people, whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering, were also there: Professor Drosten, virologist from the Charité hospital in Berlin; Professor Wieler, veterinarian and Head of the RKI, the German equivalent of the CDC; as well as Mr. Tedros, philosopher and Head of the World Health Organization (WHO). They all gave speeches there. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world’s two largest health funds, namely the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust. Less than a year later, these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with Covid-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide.

These infections, or rather the positive test results that the PCR tests delivered, in turn became the justification for worldwide lockdowns, social distancing and mandatory face masks.

It is important to note at this point that the definition of a pandemic was changed 12 years ago. Until then, a pandemic was considered to be a disease that spread worldwide and which led to many serious illnesses and deaths. Suddenly, and for unexplained reasons, the sole criterion of a pandemic became “a *worldwide disease*”, serious illnesses and many deaths were not required any more for the declaration of a pandemic. Due to this change, the WHO, which is closely intertwined with the global pharmaceutical industry, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, which resulted in vaccines produced and sold worldwide on the basis of contracts that have been brought to light only recently. These vaccines proved to be completely unnecessary, because the swine flu eventually turned out to be a mild flu, and never became the horrific plague. The pharmaceutical industry and the closely affiliated universities kept emphasizing with certainty that millions of deaths are to happen if people didn’t get vaccinated. These vaccines also led to serious health concerns – about 700 children in Europe fell incurably ill with narcolepsy and are became severely disabled. The vaccines bought with millions of taxpayer money had to be destroyed with even more taxpayer money. Even back then, during the swine flu, German virologist Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population and kept repeating over and over that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands or even millions of deaths around world. In the end, mainly thanks to Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg and his efforts as a member of the German Bundestag and also of the Council of Europe, this hoax was brought to an end before it could have lead to even more serious consequences.

Fast forward to March of 2020, the declaration of a state of emergency by the German Bundestag and the imposing of a lockdown suspending all essential constitutional rights of citizens for an undetermined period of time was based a single opinion. In an outrageous violation of the universally accepted principle “*audiatur et altera pars*” (meaning that one must also hear the other side) the only person they listened to was Mr. Drosten, the very person whose horrific, panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier.

We know this because a whistle-blower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party, told us about it. He did so first on August 29, 2020 in Berlin, in the context of an event at which Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. also took part, and at which both men gave speeches. And he did so afterwards in one of the sessions of our Corona Committee.

The reason he did this is that he had become increasingly skeptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. He had therefore undertaken an effort to find out about other scientists’ opinions and had found them on the Internet. There, he realized that there were a number of highly renowned scientists who held a completely different opinion, which contradicted the horrific prognoses of Mr. Drosten. They assumed – and still do assume – that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu, that the population had already acquired cross- or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus, and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures, and certainly not for vaccinations.

These scientists include Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology, as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientist in the world; Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize-winner for chemistry and also a biophysicist at Stanford University; the German professors Kary Mölling, Sucharit Bhakdi, Klud Wittkowski, as well as Stefan Homburg; and now many-many more scientists and doctors worldwide, including Dr. Mike Yeadon. Dr. Mike Yeadon is the former Vice-President and Scientific Director of Pfizer, one of the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world. I will talk some more about him a little later.

At the end of March, beginning of April of 2020, Mr. Sieber turned to the leadership of his Green Party with the knowledge he had accumulated, and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that, contrary to Mr. Drosten’s doomsday prophecies, there was no reason for the public to panic. Incidentally, Lord Sumption, who served as a judge at the British Supreme Court from 2012 to 2018, did the very same thing at the very same time and came to the very same conclusion: there was no factual

basis for panic and no legal basis for the corona measures. Likewise, the former President of the German Federal Constitutional Court expressed – albeit more cautiously – serious doubts that the corona measures were constitutional. But instead of taking note of these other opinions and discussing them with David Sieber, the Green Party leadership declared that Mr. Drosten’s panic messages were good enough for the Green Party. Remember, they’re not a member of the ruling coalition; they’re the opposition. Still, that was enough for them, just as it had been good enough for the Federal Government as a basis for its lockdown decision, they said. They subsequently, the Green Party leadership called David Sieber a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information, and then stripped him of his mandates.

Now let’s take a look at the current situation regarding the virus’s dangerousness, the complete uselessness of PCR tests for the detection of infections and lockdowns based on non-existent infections.

It is known that the health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by Covid-19. On the contrary, many hospitals remain empty to this day and some are now facing bankruptcy. The hospital ship *Comfort*, which anchored in New York at the time, and could have accommodated a thousand patients, never accommodated more than around 20 patients. There wasn’t any excess mortality. Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu. Even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading. Then, the so-called “Panic Paper” was leaked, which was written by the German Department of Interior. Its classified content shows beyond a shadow of a doubt that, in fact, that the population was deliberately driven to panic by politicians and mainstream media. The accompanying irresponsible statements of the Head of the RKI – remember the [German] CDC – Mr. Wieler, who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population without asking questions, show that that he followed the script verbatim. In his public statements, Weiler kept describing the situation as very grave and threatening, regardless of the fact that the figures compiled by his own Institute showed the exact opposite. Among other things, the “Panic Paper” calls for children to be made to feel responsible – and I quote – “for the painful tortured death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules and don’t wash their hands constantly and don’t stay away from their grandparents.” A word of clarification: in Bergamo, the vast majority of deaths, 94% to be exact, turned out to be the result not of Covid-19, but rather the consequence of the government deciding to transfer sick patients, probably sick with the cold or seasonal flu, from hospitals to nursing homes in order to make room at the hospitals for Covid patients, who ultimately never arrived. At the nursing homes, they then infected old people with a severely weakened immune system, usually as a result of pre-existing medical conditions. In addition, a flu vaccination, which had previously been administered, had further weakened the immune systems of the people in the nursing homes. In New York, only some, but by far not all hospitals were overwhelmed. Many people, most of whom were again elderly and had serious pre-existing medical conditions, and most of whom, had it not been for the panic-mongering, would have just stayed at home to recover, were rushed to the hospitals. Many of them fell victim to healthcare-associated infections (or nosocomial infections), and incidents of malpractice on the other hand, such as being put on a respirator rather than receiving oxygen through an oxygen mask. Again, to clarify: Covid-19, this is the current state of affairs, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu is a dangerous disease. And of course, Covid-19, just like seasonal flu, may sometimes take take a severe clinical course and will sometimes kill patients.

However, as autopsies carried out in Germany have shown, partially by the forensic scientist Professor Klaus Püschel in Hamburg, the fatalities examined had almost all been caused by serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died

at the very at a very old age (just like in Italy), which means that they had lived beyond their average life expectancy.

In this context, the following should also be noted: the German RKI (that is, again the equivalent of the CDC) had initially, strangely enough, recommended that no autopsies be performed. A number of credible reports exist that doctors and hospitals worldwide had been paid money for declaring a deceased person a victim of Covid-19 rather than writing down the true cause of death on the death certificate, for example a heart attack or a gunshot wound. Without the autopsies, we would never know that the overwhelming majority of the alleged Covid-19 victims had died of completely different diseases instead of Covid-19. <Not in the RUSSIAN ORIGINAL The assertion that the lockdown was necessary because there were so many different infections with SARS-COV-2, and because the healthcare systems would be overwhelmed is wrong for three reasons, as we have learned from the hearings we conducted with the Corona Committee and from other data sources that have become available in the meantime:

A. The lockdown was imposed when the virus was already retreating. By the time the lockdown was imposed, the alleged infection rates were already dropping again.

B. There's already protection from the virus because of cross, or T-cell immunity. Apart from the above mentioned lockdown being imposed when the infection rates were already dropping, there is also cross- or T-cell immunity in the general population against the corona viruses contained in every flu or influenza wave. This is true, even if this time around, a slightly different strain of the coronavirus was at work. That is because the body's own immune system remembers every virus it has ever battled in the past, and from this experience, it also recognizes a supposedly new, but still similar strain of the virus from the corona family. Incidentally, that's how the PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten.

At the beginning of January of 2020, based on this very basic knowledge, Mr. Drosten developed his PCR test, which supposedly detects an infection with SARS-COV-2, without ever having seen the real Wuhan virus from China. Only having learned from social media reports that there was something going on in Wuhan, he started tinkering on his computer with what would become *his* corona PCR test, which would allegedly verify the presence of the infection. Never having seen a virus from Wuhan, China, he used an old SARS virus for this purpose, hoping that it would be sufficiently similar to the allegedly new strain of the coronavirus found in Wuhan. Then, he sent the result of his computer tinkering to China to determine whether the victims of the alleged new coronavirus tested positive. They did.

And that was enough for the World Health Organization to raise the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR tests for the detection of virus now called SARS-COV-2.

Drosten's opinion and advice was – and this must be emphasized once again – the only source for the German Government when it announced the lockdown as well as the rules for social distancing and the mandatory wearing of masks. And – this must also be emphasized once again – Germany apparently became the center of especially massive lobbying by the pharmaceutical and tech industry because the world, with reference to the allegedly disciplined Germans, should do as the Germans do in order to survive the pandemic.

C. And this is the most important part of our fact-finding: **the PCR test is being used on the basis of false statements, NOT based on scientific facts with respect to infections.** In the meantime, we have learned that these PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do NOT give any indication of an infection with any virus, let alone an infection with SARS-COV-2. Not only are PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as it is clearly noted on leaflets attached to the tests, and as the inventor of the PCR test, Kary Mullis, has repeatedly emphasized it, but they're simply incapable of diagnosing any disease. That is, contrary to the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, which they have been making since the proclamation of the pandemic, a positive PCR-test result does not mean that an infection is present. If someone tests

positive, it does NOT mean that they're infected with anything, let alone with the contagious SARS-COV-2 virus. Even the United States CDC agrees with this statement.

Let me quote from page 38 of one of its publications on the coronavirus and the PCR tests, dated July 13, 2020.

First bullet point:

“Detection of viral RNA may not indicate the presence of infectious virus or that 2019 nCOV [novel coronavirus] is the causative agent for clinical symptoms.”

Second bullet point:

“The performance of this test has not been established for monitoring treatment of 2019 nCOV infection.”

Third bullet point: *“This test cannot rule out diseases caused by other bacterial or viral pathogens.”*

It is not clear to this day whether there has ever been a scientifically correct isolation of the Wuhan virus, so nobody knows exactly what to look for when testing, especially since this virus, just like the flu viruses, mutates quickly. **The PCR swabs take one or two sequences of a molecule that are invisible to the human eye and therefore need to be amplified in many cycles to make it visible.** Everything over 35 cycles is – as reported by the *New York Times* and others – considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable. However, **the Drosten test, as well as the WHO-recommended tests that followed its example, are set to 45 cycles.** Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?

The test cannot distinguish inactive and reproductive matter. **This means that a positive result may happen because the test detects, for example, a piece of debris, a fragments, or a molecule, which may signal nothing else, but the fact that the immune system of the person tested won a battle against a common cold in the past.** Even Drosten himself declared in an interview with a German business magazine in 2014, at that time concerning the alleged detection of a MERS virus infection, allegedly with the help of the PCR test, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even totally healthy and non-infectious people *may test positive*. At that time, he also became all too aware of the powerful role of the panic- and fear-mongering media, as you'll see at the end of the following quote. He said then, in this interview: *“If, for example, such a pathogen scurries over the nasal mucosa of a nurse for a day or so without her getting sick or noticing anything, then she's suddenly a MERS case. This could also explain the explosion of case numbers in Saudi Arabia. In addition, the media there have made this into an incredible sensation.”*

Has he forgotten this? Or does he deliberately conceal this in the corona context because corona is a very lucrative business opportunity for the pharmaceutical industry as a whole? And for Mr. Alford Lund, his co-author in many studies and also a PCR-test producer. In my view, it is completely implausible that he forgot in 2020 what he knew about the PCR tests and shared with the business magazine in 2014.

In short, this test cannot detect any infection, contrary to all false claims stating that it can. An infection, a so-called “hot” infection, requires that the virus, or rather a fragment of a molecule which may be a virus, is not just found somewhere, for example, in the throat of a person without causing any damage – that would be a “cold” infection. However, a “hot” infection requires that the virus penetrated into the cells, replicated there and caused symptoms such as headaches or a sore throat. Only then a person is really infected in the sense of a “hot” infection, because only then a person is contagious, that is, able to infect others. Until then, it is completely harmless for both the host and all other people that the host comes into contact with.

Once again, this means that positive test results, contrary to all other claims by Drosten, Wieler, or the WHO, mean nothing with respect to infections, as even the CDC knows, as quoted above.

Meanwhile, a number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic, but rather a **PCR-test pandemic**. This is the conclusion reached by many German scientists, who are independent of Drosten, such as professors Bhakdi, Reiss, Mölling, Hockertz, Walach and many others, including the above-mentioned Professor John Ioannidis and the Nobel laureate Professor Michael Levitt from Stanford University.

The most recent such opinion is that of the aforementioned Dr. Mike Yeadon, a former Vice-President and Chief Science Advisor of Pfizer, who held this position for 16 years. He and his co-authors, all well-known scientists, published a scientific paper in September of 2020 and he wrote a corresponding magazine article on September 20, 2020. Among other things, he and they state – and I quote:

“We’re basing our government policy, our economic policy, and the policy of restricting fundamental rights, presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren’t for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over because nothing really happened. Of course, there are some serious individual cases of illness, but there are also some in every flu epidemic. There was a real wave of disease in March and April, but since then, everything has gone back to normal. Only the positive results rise and sink wildly again and again, depending on how many tests are carried out. But the real cases of illnesses are over. There can be no talk of a second wave. The allegedly new strain of the coronavirus is ...”

– Dr. Yeadon continues –

“... only new in that it is a new type of the long-known corona virus. There are at least four coronaviruses that are endemic and cause some of the common colds we experience, especially in winter. They all have a striking sequence similarity to the coronavirus, and because the human immune system recognizes the similarity to the virus that has now allegedly been newly discovered, a T-cell immunity has long existed in this respect. 30 per cent of the population had this before the allegedly new virus even appeared. Therefore, it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 per cent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus. And this has long been the case.”

Regarding the all-important PCR tests, Yeadon writes, in a piece dated September 20, 2020, and I quote:

“The likelihood of an apparently positive case being a false positive is between 89 to 94 per cent, or near certainty.”

Dr. Yeadon, in agreement with the professors of Immunology Chamber from Germany, Kappel from the Netherlands, and Cahill from Ireland, as well as the microbiologist Dr. Arve from Austria, all of whom testified before the German Corona Committee, explicitly point out that a positive test does not mean that an intact virus has been found.

The authors explain that what the PCR test actually measures is – and I quote:

“Simply the presence of partial RNA sequences present in the intact virus, which could be a piece of dead virus, which cannot make the subject sick, and cannot be transmitted, and cannot make anyone else sick.”

Because of the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases – tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings – Oxford Professor Carl Heneghan, Director of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, writes that the Covid virus would never disappear if this test practice were to be continued, but would always be falsely detected in much of what is tested. Lockdowns, as Yeadon and his colleagues found out, do not work. Sweden, with its laissez-faire approach, and Great Britain, with its strict lockdown, for example, have completely comparable disease and mortality statistics. The same was found by US scientists with respect to different US states. It makes no difference to the incidence of disease whether a state implements a lockdown or not.

With regard to the now infamous Imperial College of London's Professor Neil Ferguson and his completely false computer models warning of millions of deaths, he says that – and I quote: “*No serious scientist gives any validity to Ferguson's model.*” He points out with thinly veiled contempt – again I quote:

“*It's important that you know, most scientists don't accept that it ...*” – that is, Ferguson's model – “*was even faintly right. But the government is still wedded to the model.*” Ferguson predicted 40,000 corona deaths in Sweden by May and 100,000 by June, but it remained at 5,800 which, according to the Swedish authorities, is equivalent to a mild flu. If PCR tests had not been used as a diagnostic tool for corona infections, there would not be a pandemic and there would be no lockdowns, but everything would have been perceived as just a medium or light wave of influenza, the scientists conclude. Dr. Yeadon writes in his article, *Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics, The Deadly Danger of False Positives*: “*This test is fatally flawed and must immediately be withdrawn and never used again in this setting, unless shown to be fixed.*” Towards the end he writes: “*I have explained how a hopelessly performing diagnostic test has been, and continues to be used, not for diagnosis of disease, but it seems solely to create fear*”.

Now let's take a look at the current situation regarding the severe damage caused by the lockdowns and other measures. Another detailed paper, written by a German official in the Department of Interior, who is responsible for risk assessment and the protection of the population against risks, was leaked recently. It is now called the “False Alarm” paper. This paper comes to the conclusion that there was and is still no sufficient evidence for serious health risks for the population as claimed by Drosten, Wieler and the WHO. However, the author says, there's much evidence of the corona measures causing gigantic health and economic damage to the population, which he then describes in detail in the paper. This, he concludes, will lead to very high number of claims for damages, which the government will be held responsible for. This has now become reality, but the paper's author was suspended from his post.

More and more scientists, as well as lawyers recognize the fact that as a result of deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by totalitarian fascist models. As I already mentioned above, in Australia, people who do not wear masks, which according to more and more studies, are hazardous to health, or allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines, they run the risk of getting shot, but even in Germany and in other previously civilized countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, self-distancing and mask-wearing regulations. According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the Corona Committee, children are traumatized en masse, with the worst psychological consequences yet to be expected in the medium- and long-term. In Germany alone, bankruptcies are expected in the fall to strike small- and medium-sized businesses, which form the backbone of the economy. This will result in incalculable tax losses and incalculably high and long-term social security money transfers for – among other things – unemployment benefits.

Since, in the meantime, pretty much everybody is beginning to understand the full devastating impact of the completely unfounded corona measures, I will refrain from detailing this any further.

Let me now give you a summary of the legal consequences. The most difficult part of a lawyer's work is always to establish the true facts, not the application of the legal rules to these facts. Unfortunately, a German lawyer does not learn this at law school, but his Anglo-American counterparts do get the necessary training for this at *their* law schools. And probably for this reason, as well as because of the much more pronounced independence of the Anglo-American judiciary, the Anglo-American law of evidence is much more effective in practice than the German one. A court of law can only decide a legal dispute correctly if it has previously determined the facts correctly, which is not possible without looking at all the evidence. This is the reason why the law of evidence is so important. On the basis of the facts

summarized above, in particular those established with the help of the work of the German Corona Committee, the legal evaluation is actually simple. It is simple for all civilized legal systems, regardless of whether these legal systems are based on civil law, which follows the Roman law more closely, or whether they are based on Anglo-American common law, which is only loosely connected to Roman law.

Let's take a look first at the unconstitutionality of the measures. A number of German law professors, including professors Kingreen, Morswig, Jungbluth and Vosgerau have stated, either in written expert opinions or in interviews, in line with the serious doubts expressed by the former president of the Federal Constitutional Court with respect to the constitutionality of the corona measures, that they lack a sufficient factual basis, as well as a sufficient legal basis, thus they are unconstitutional and must be repealed immediately. Very recently, a judge named Thorsten Schleif, declared publicly that the German judiciary, similarly to the general public, has been so panic-stricken that they were no longer able to administer justice properly. He says that the courts of law – and I quote – “have all too quickly waved through coercive measures which, for millions of people all over Germany, represent massive suspension of their constitutional rights.” He points out that German citizens – again I quote – “are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the federal republic of Germany in 1949”. In order to contain the corona pandemic, federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively, and, in part, threaten the very existence of the country as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

What about fraud, intentional infliction of damage and crimes against humanity?

Based on the rules of criminal law, **asserting false facts concerning the PCR tests or intentional misrepresentation**, as it was committed by Drosten, Wieler, as well as the WHO, can *only* be assessed as fraud. Based on the rules of civil tort law, this translates to intentional infliction of damage. The German professor of civil law, Martin Schwab, supports this finding in his public interviews. In a comprehensive legal opinion of around 180 pages, he has familiarized himself with the subject matter like no other legal scholar has done thus far and, in particular, he has provided a detailed account of the complete failure of the mainstream media to report on the true facts of this so-called pandemic. Drosten, Wieler and Tedros of the WHO all knew, based on their own expertise or the expertise of their institutions, that the PCR tests cannot provide valid information about infections, but kept communicating to the general public that they can and their counterparts echoed this same stance all over the world. And they all knew and accepted, that on the basis of their recommendations, governments of the world would decide to impose lockdowns, rules for social distancing and mandatory wearing of masks, the latter representing a *very serious health hazard*, as more and more independent studies and expert statements show. Under the rules of civil tort law, all those who have been harmed by these PCR-test-induced lockdowns are entitled to receive full compensation for their losses. In particular, there is a duty to compensate – in other words, a duty to pay damages for the loss of profits suffered by companies and self-employed persons due to lockdown and other measures.

In the meantime, however, the anti-corona measures have caused, and continue to cause, such devastating damage to health of the world population and economy that the crimes committed by Drosten, Wieler and the WHO *must be legally qualified as actual crimes against humanity*, as defined in section 7 of the International Criminal Code.

How can we do something? What can we do? Well, a class action suit seems to be the best option both with respect to compensatory damages, as well as to political consequences. The so-called class action lawsuit is based on English law and exists today in the USA and in Canada. This procedure enables a court of law to allow a complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff:

1. As a result of a damage-inducing event.
2. If a large number of people suffer the same type of damage.

Phrased differently, the court can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if the legal and factual basis of the claim are common. In this case, the common legal and factual questions revolve around the worldwide PCR test based lockdowns and their consequences. It is similar to the Volkswagen diesel scandal, which concerned functioning products, however the built-in emission controlling devices were defective, as they didn't comply with the emissions standards. Similarly, PCR tests are perfectly good products in other settings, however they are defective products when it comes to the infections diagnosis. Now, if an American or Canadian company or individual decides to sue these persons in the United States or Canada for damages, then the court called upon to resolve this dispute may, upon request, allow this complaint to be tried as a class action lawsuit.

If this happens, all affected parties will be informed globally about this through publications in the mainstream media and who will thus have the opportunity to join this class action within a certain period of time, which is to be determined by the court. It should be emphasized that nobody *must* join the class action, but every injured party *has the right* to join the class.

The advantage of the class action is that *only one trial is needed*, namely to try the complaint of a representative plaintiff who is affected similarly to everyone else in the class. This method is cheaper and faster than conducting hundreds of thousands or more individual lawsuits. In addition, it imposes less of a burden on courts. As a rule it also allows a much more precise examination of the accusations compared to hundreds of thousands, or more likely in this corona setting, even millions of individual lawsuits.

In particular, the well-established and proven Anglo-American law of evidence, with its pre-trial examination, is highly applicable. This requires all evidence relevant for the determination of the lawsuit to be put on the table. In contrast to the typical situation in German lawsuits with structural imbalance, namely, lawsuits involving a consumer on the one hand, and a powerful corporation on the other hand, withholding or even destruction of evidence is not without consequence; rather the party withholding or even destroying evidence loses the case under these evidence rules.

Here in Germany, a group of tort lawyers have teamed up in order to help their clients with recovery of damages. They have provided all relevant information and forms for German plaintiffs to both estimate how much damage they suffered and join the group or class of plaintiffs who will later join the class action when it goes ahead either in Canada or the US. Initially, this group of lawyers had also considered to collect and manage the claims for damages of other, non-German plaintiffs, but this proved to be unmanageable.

However, through an international lawyers' network, which is keeps growing larger by the day, German group of attorneys provides all of their colleagues in other countries, with all relevant information free of charge, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts showing that the PCR tests are not adequate to detect infections. They also provide them with all relevant information as to how they can prepare and bundle the claims for damages of their clients so that, they too, can assert their clients' claims for damages, either in courts of law in their home countries, or within the framework of the class action, as explained above.

These scandalous corona facts, gathered mostly by the Corona Committee and summarized above, are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true either in one court of law, or in many courts of law globally. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes. To the politicians who believe these corrupt people, these facts are hereby offered as a lifeline that can help them readjust their course of action and start the long overdue public scientific discussion, and not go down with the charlatans and criminals. ”

* * *

The activities of R. Fuellmich and the organization “Coronavirus Commission” continue to this day, but it is unknown yet, whether they have achieved any results in bringing “corona-fraud” to justice.

CHAPTER X

The Position of the HOG on R. Fuellmich's Statements

The Humanistic Outlook Group shares Dr Fuellmich's views on many issues, but fundamentally disagrees with his statement that the reason for the COVID-19 crisis is PCR testing.

The HOG believes that the cause of the pandemic of fear as well as the introduction and continuation of quarantine measures and other COVID-19 restrictions to this day are primarily due to technical and methodological errors in the WHO recommendations of March 25, 2020, which led to distorted statistics on morbidity and mortality from coronavirus infection.¹³

As mentioned above, according to these recommendations, the patients and the deceased are considered to have been infected with COVID-19 even when no coronavirus was detected in the laboratory report or no laboratory examination has been carried out at all.

According to the HOG, there is another point in Fuellmich's reasoning that raises a reasonable question. If the "Coronavirus Commission" consisting of lawyers and other high-level specialists, arguably confirms the facts of "coronavirus-fraud", which led to a massive violation of the rights of German and foreign citizens, then why does not this organisation approach the federal government with a relevant request directly. Chancellor A. Merkel, who, as we know, has demonstrated a high level of humanism by granting asylum in her country to almost one million foreign citizens – so called migrants.

The HOG hopes that the activities of R. Fuellmich and his organisation will help to clarify the true situation with the COVID-19 crisis. However, the HOG doubts that Fuellmich and his organisation's actions will yield significant results in protecting the rights of victims and identifying the true culprits and will not be able to prevent similar crises from occurring in the future, as there is currently no norm in international or national legislation in place that would establish responsibility for organising economic and financial crises. In our opinion, Fuellmich should first of all have sought legislative reforms that could effectively prevent such crises, which should also include the obligation of states to protect the assets of all proprietors – from individuals to large companies – against the effects of crises without discrimination.

On 11 October, 2020, the Humanistic Outlook Group sent a letter to Dr. R. Fuellmich informing him about the efforts of the HOG to fight the Fear Pandemic 2020 (copy enclosed below) and expressing their willingness to cooperate. The response to the appeal has not yet been received, but the HOG hopes that Dr. R. Fuellmich and his colleagues share our views on the need for a fundamental change, as outlined in the HOG's programme about transformation from the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus.

13 <https://www.who.int/classifications/icd/covid19/en/>



HUMANISTIC OUTLOOK GROUP

Akaki Tsereteli
 14 Kilátók útja,
 Leányfalu 2016 Hungary
cereteli@freemail.hu
 +36 30 650 20 99

11 October 2020

Dr. Reiner Fuellmich
 Senderstr.37
 37077 Göttingen Germany

Dear Dr. Fuellmich,

The Humanistic Outlook Group aims to promote humanism in order to solve the current global problems. Our organisation wholeheartedly supports your analysis of the current pandemic.

The Humanistic Outlook warned the Secretary-General of the UN regarding the forthcoming humanitarian, and socioeconomic crisis and forecasted the current situation even back in 2018 (see Annex 1).

At the very beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic we addressed the UN Representatives of every single state to coordinate the global action and avoid the negative consequences that you have pointed out in your video address (see Annex 2).

There were uneven responses to our letter, including certain attempts to block its reception and we decided to send another letter to the heads of G7, G20 and EU Member states directly (see Annex 3 and 4).

We express our solidarity - in case you are interested, the HOG is fully prepared to provide additional information and materials, including organising a personal meeting (which would, in our opinion, be preferable) at any convenient time and place at your discretion.

Yours Sincerely

A. TSERETELI

Akaki Tsereteli
 Director of the Humanistic Outlook Group

Otari Kakhidze

Otari Kakhidze

Enclosed:

- Annex 1 - The copy of the HOG letter to the UN Secretary-General Office and their reply, 11.12.2018
- Annex 2 - The copy of the HOG letter to the Permanent Representative of Germany to the UN, 05.04.2020
- Annex 3 - The copy of the HOG letter to the heads of states, 25.04.2020
- Annex 4 - The copy of the HOG letter to the German Embassy asking to support delivering the note to the German Chancellor, 15.06.2020

Letter of the HOG to R. Fuellmich

CHAPTER XI

The Position of Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee on Covid-19

In the summer of 2020, another non-governmental organisation, the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee (Außerparlamentarischer Corona Untersuchungsausschuss)^{14,15} was established in Germany and founded by Hamburg physician Heiko Schöning¹⁶. To illustrate the motivation and goals of this organization, we present the full text of the speech of Dr. Schöning and his colleagues from Germany and Austria, Dr. Shifman and Dr. Hadic, at a press conference on July 2, 2020.

“Dr. Heiko Schöning:

Dear fellow citizens, Welcome to the ACU, the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee. If Parliament does not do it, we, the citizens, are called upon to do it ourselves. As the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee, we will investigate why these restrictive measures were imposed upon us in our country as part of Covid-19, why people are suffering now and whether there is proportionality of the measures to this disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. We have serious doubts that these measures are proportionate. This needs to be examined, and since the parliaments – neither the opposition parties nor the ruling parties – have not convened a committee and it is not even planned, it is high time that we took this into our own hands. We will invite and hear experts here in the Covid-19 speaker group. These are experts from all areas of life: medicine, social affairs, law, economics and many more. Well-known experts have already agreed to be part of it. In addition to the speaker group, my colleague Prof. HADITSCH and my colleague Dr. SCHIFFMANN, I would also like to introduce myself. My name is Heiko SCHÖNING, I’m an ordinary doctor from Hamburg. My personal motivation is that I am a father, like many others in this country who have children. And we see that our children are suffering now, not just because the playgrounds have been closed, but because they are separated. And it’s worse for the adults. We ask ourselves: Why are people no longer allowed to visit their parents in retirement homes? Is there such a great risk of infection? Do we really have a killer virus here? Do we have rabies or do we have the plague? We have serious doubts that this is the case! We do not have the plague! What really helps us in this context is decency and honesty, as the famous Nobel Prize winner Albert CAMUS already expressed in his wonderful book “The Plague”. We want to make sure that the ACU, the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee, is based on honesty and transparency. This is why experts – such as Prof. BHAKDI from medicine, Prof. OTTE from business, or legal expert Prof. JUNGBLUT, and many, many others who have already agreed – will get together in this committee. And of course, we also invite all experts from the government, the public institutes, the Robert Koch Institute, and certainly international experts as well. We will guarantee transparency. All expert statements will be broadcast live, without editing, you can watch it all on the Internet. We are going to set up a website, and of course we will need more resources for that. Therefore, please support this citizens’ request, this ACU citizens’ initiative. What will be the ideal outcome? We will see that we do not have to be more afraid than the last few years with normal flu waves, because this is exactly how this one seems to be. Then why were these drastic measures taken? According to an internal report from the Federal Ministry of the Interior,

14 <https://acu2020.org>; <https://acu2020.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Text-ACU-russisch.pdf>

15 <https://acu2020.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Интервью-между-Йенсом-Лерихом-и-Хейко-Шенингом.pdf>;
<https://acu2020.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Великий-блеф-транскрипт.pdf>

16 https://radiokp.ru/eto-kollektivnoe-samoubiystvo-professor-iz-germanii-raskritikoval-karantin-v-evrope_nid15417_au6635au ; https://youtu.be/MG21c8j_e10 ; <https://youtu.be/swKKi0XBeXs>

90% of all necessary operations in Germany were not carried out, which affected 2.5 million people. This report also writes that there are or will be 5,000 to 125,000 deaths resulting from these government measures. These are human beings, fellow citizens who have already died or are still dying. This report was dated May 7, 2020. This is also the reason why we are now taking this Covid-19 investigation into our own hands because we can no longer wait. And it is more than grossly negligent that government agencies do not disclose these things and, as it seems, orchestrate them, because the scientific data already shows that there is no basis for these measures. We all ask ourselves – of course also in business, but this is mainly about human lives – who benefits? That’s a question we will also try to find answers to. Cui bono? Who benefits?

Thank you very much for all your support so far. We look forward to more resources and your cooperation. Again, we invite everyone, including the other side, to speak here, it will be posted transparently on the Internet. And of course, we are also available for a press conference. We therefore ask the Federal Press Conference association to open the rooms for us and for the international press. Thank you very much. I now pass the word on to my colleague Dr. Bodo SCHIFFMANN.

Dr. Bodo Schiffmann:

Thank you very much, Mr. SCHÖNING, Why is the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee necessary? Because we are dealing with a lack of proportionality. Governments have to make decisions, and in emergency situations – such as during a pandemic – they must also take measures that may momentarily restrict fundamental rights. But they are also obliged to constantly verify these measures and to lift them as quickly as possible to prevent collateral damage as mentioned by my colleague Dr. SCHÖNING. Instead, we receive out-of-context numbers, numbers that are only capable of fueling fear by simply adding up cases of illness and not showing that there is a mismatch between the number of people tested and those actually infected. Existing, well-functioning structures, such as the Infection Protection Act, are simply suspended and replaced with something new, far more drastic. And the only thing we hear from the government is the constant call for a vaccination for a disease that we now know very well – through many international studies – that it is very comparable to flu diseases, that the death rates are no higher than during strong flu waves and that the measures cannot be justified. It is a terrifying ignorance where recognized international studies and experts from all fields – virologists, bacteriologists, epidemiologists, or economists – are simply not heard or ignored. Even worse, they are called liars, charlatans, or conspiracy theorists, which is likely to be the non-word of the year 2020. Instead, the government promotes a vaccination that can be highly dangerous, a vaccination without medical necessity for lack of evidence. There is not even a sufficient number of people in Germany getting sick to be tested for a vaccine. Plus, it is a new form of vaccination, a so-called RNA vaccination, which, unlike previous vaccinations, is able to change the genetic code and can cause undeniable damage to people. In this context, we also remember the medical principle “do no harm” – “nihil nocere”. That is the job of the doctors, and here we also hope that more doctors will take part and think, because we doctors should not harm the patients more than benefit them. My motivation is my grandparents and my parents, who taught me that when I feel that fundamental rights are being restricted, democracy is being restricted, the press is no longer a free press, but you get the feeling that there is propaganda going on, when foreign opinions are censored, deleted, then you have to take to the streets, then you have to be active, or you have to try, for example, to inform the public, as we do with a Corona inquiry committee. Because, of course, there is always the risk that power corrupts and that, at some point, politicians stop seeing things with the right measure and aim.

I started seeing a risk of losing democracy, and every day I see more and more efforts to turn our free and democratic constitutional system into a surveillance state, with mind

control, surveillance apps and the like, under the guise of infection protection laws. The best case scenario is that there is a complete, legal clarification of the background of these measures, which are out of proportion both from a scientific-medical and human point of view, that those responsible are also held accountable, and that situations such as the swine flu, where vaccinations left people with vaccine damage for a disease that should not have been vaccinated, are not repeated! And all measures that have been taken must be scaled back, because they have been developed against the backdrop of horror scenarios that have never happened and that are still held up to spread fear among the population of a deadly disease that does not exist in this form. And at best, of course, it will be established – and this has to be done – that the lockdown and the mask requirement must be ended immediately. At a time when there were no more cases of illness, wearing a mask in doctor's offices was made compulsory on May 29 of this year. Today is June 20, 2020. 14 days ago, we had large mass demonstrations against racism in 20 major German cities, with more than 20,000 participants. If this virus in this form were actually still rampant in Germany with this infection rate, we would have to see a massive increase in the number of infections today. This is not the case. This proves that the measures can no longer be justified. Thank you very much.

Dr. Schöning:

Thank you Mr. SCHIFFMANN, I would like to emphasize once again that what we are doing here is absolutely not related to any party, it is not about right or left, it is not about thick or thin or man or woman. This is about life and death for many, about quality of life for adults, and also for our children. This is our main motivation, also for future generations, to face up to the circumstances we have been exposed to in recent months. We citizens must regain our sovereignty and we also claim the right to do so, because we have this right. We stand on the foundation of the Basic Constitutional Law. The Basic Constitutional Law, which I'm holding in my hand, has a wonderful article, article 20, paragraph 4: "Everyone has the right to resist if no other measures remedy the situation". Maybe we can also look at this Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee as a last resort in the hope that those in government, who have also sworn an oath not to harm people but to help them, the people who also defend our country, that we remind all of them – civil servants, officers, doctors, yes, all fellow citizens are called upon to take part in this. And the dire circumstances, which we are all experiencing, we can see it in the streets, we experience it in our families and also personally with our friends and relatives, need to be dealt with by us. And I'm also glad that we don't just have the German perspective, but that we can also bring an international perspective into the process. And that's why I'm also pleased to have in this circle of speakers, the ACU, the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee, a real expert on this topic, Professor HADITSCH from Austria. Here you go.

Prof. Haditsch:

Yes, thank you very much and Hello to everyone. Dear Mr. SCHÖNING, it is a great honor for me and, to be honest, also a heartfelt concern for me to be part of the ACU, the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee. At the factual level, we have to ask ourselves: why is this inquiry committee necessary in the first place? This investigation is necessary because inappropriate decisions have been made irresponsibly from the outset, either grossly negligently or deliberately, without the necessary duty of care, that is to say without even the slightest consideration for collateral damage – decisions that have also undermined fundamental democratic rights and trampled all over ethical duties. There has obviously also been an attempt to compensate for blatant misconduct, such as the neglect of those in need of protection – and I would like to remind you of the people in retirement homes – by means of draconian, untargeted measures, perhaps in order to avoid having to justify this misconduct as well, at least to divert attention from these problems. Whether this was done

unintentionally, i.e. based on ignorance, or intentionally, i.e. based on questionable motives, is basically irrelevant in the end. In any case, the decision makers disqualify themselves. In view of the scope of the decisions made, both options described above must necessarily be subjected to a detailed review, and, based on the experience with the extremely one-sided presentation by politics and state broadcasters, or the state media, this can ultimately only be done by an independent, i.e. extra-parliamentary, corona inquiry committee. My personal motivation for participating in this is basically that I am a specialist in microbiology, virology, and infection epidemiology, and that, from a professional point of view, I am deeply appalled by the completely unobjective, unprofessional approach in this matter. Some people will now wonder what I mean by “unobjective, unprofessional approach”.

What I mean by this includes the basically ongoing sketching of worst case scenarios, fear-mongering, and creation of inappropriate comparisons, also in the media. For example, just think of this excruciating catchword “Italian conditions”, which was at best true for some Northern Italian regions, while the Southern Italian regions – which are normally poorer and worse off – coped with the whole thing without any major problems. Inappropriate, eye-catching depictions of threatening trends, which in practice never materialized, and, with proper assessment from the start, given the quality of the healthcare system in Germany, could never have occurred in this way. But I’m also a trained general practitioner, and, above all as a doctor, I could no longer tolerate this approach contemptuous to health and human beings, as it is in stark contrast to the professional understanding and ethics of all of us. This permanent fear or panic mongering, the resulting psychological and social damage to be expected, the gigantic medical and economic collateral damage, and last but not least, the massive interventions in all our cultural lives and cohabitation, are, in my opinion as a holistically oriented physician, reason and motivation enough to stand up and fight this insanity.

In view of the disaster, the scope of which can currently not be assessed at all, it seems necessary, with due respect, of course, but unambiguously, to press for an objective assessment of these decisions and to hold the decision-makers accountable in the event of proven misconduct. The question for this inquiry committee is, of course, also: what can we expect, what is the best-case scenario? In my view, that all citizens, at least all medical colleagues – after all, they should all feel committed to the Hippocratic way of thinking, the slogan “*nihil nocere*”, i.e. not to do any harm – and that everyone informs themselves also beyond all those media in line with government policies, asks critical questions and does plausibility checks. And then it will become clear that Bergamo is not Italy, Ischgl is not Austria, New York is not the USA, and a carnival party in Heinsberg, an apartment building in Göttingen and slaughterhouses, wherever they may be, are not Germany.

It should also become visible for all,

- that the German health care system has never even been close to running the risk of decompensating, i.e. being overburdened;

- that measurement figures, such as the doubling rate and this dreadful number “ R_0 ”, had the primary purpose of creating fear and putting pressure on the population, and were communicated in an unobjective and manipulative way for lack of reference to the number of tests carried out;

- that false and untrustworthy fatality figures have been misused for intimidation purposes;

- that the number of cases was already significantly declining well before the lockdown;

- that a general mask-wearing obligation ordered 4 weeks later, I repeat 4 weeks later, was factually unfounded, unlawful and psycho-socially irresponsible;

- that the incorrigible sticking to measures and already refuted statements, i.e. against better knowledge and proven evidence, is a criminal offence in this context; and

– that ultimately a drastic change in the party-political decision-making structures is overdue because this is the only way to reliably prevent this anti-democratic approach from being continued or repeated.

I deeply wish the ACU, the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee, all the best for an objective examination of all these conflict issues. Good luck.

Dr. Schöning:

Thank you very much, Prof. HADITSCH.

I would like to conclude by emphasizing once again why we are already setting up this ACU, the Corona Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee, at this point. We don't want to wait for the parliaments or others, who at some point may come later in the future, because the pressure is on now. People are suffering now, and many people have already been injured, some have even lost their lives. Just as it was predicted in the internal report of the Federal Ministry of the Interior. People have died because of the government measures! And that is obviously not proportionate. Another reason why it is so urgent, that we have to take it into our own hands now, especially when no one else is doing it who might be more called upon, is a circumstance that I would like to mention again. All over the world, there are people whose heart stops beating. Everyone has a 100% risk that at some point their heart will stop beating.

The good news is, it is possible to revive, to resuscitate, and there is a guideline on how to do it. And this guideline was changed internationally at the beginning of April, and in that course, it was also changed in Germany. And this guideline, you have to imagine that, now says, "because of the high risk of infection with Covid-19 and the high damage that could be caused by it" mouth-to-mouth resuscitation should now no longer be performed, just imagine that.

You should now put a cloth over your mouth. This means that many, many more people in the world will die now, because it is scientifically proven that if you press and provide mouth-to-mouth, many more people survive. And that way, excess mortality is produced for statistics. We have to reverse this resuscitation guideline. Because, as we can actually see, and as we will be able to prove comprehensively in this inquiry committee, we do not have the plague or the killer virus. This is good news!

But we really must ask ourselves: Why is it the way it is? Why are these measures in place? Who benefits? We don't want to wait until we, our friends and our relatives suffer personal and physical damage. We have to work together now! And I can only invite you, because we are not backed by a corporation or media corporation, any rich people, or foundations. The better we are equipped with resources, the more professionally and faster we can do this work, also internationally. We will also publish our work in several languages to the best of our ability. Everyone is invited to help us out here, in the best public spirit. And therefore, I thank you very, very much for all the support you have given us this far.

Thank you very much.

CHAPTER XII

Opinion of the HOG Regarding the Statements of H. Schöning and Other Members of the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee

The Humanistic Outlook Group shares the position of the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee on the necessity of urgent investigation of the causes of the current crisis, which led to a massive violation of citizens' rights and caused significant damage to the world economy. The HOG also fully agrees with the need to bring to justice those responsible for this crisis.

The HOG, similarly to the Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee states that the investigation should be carried out on the basis of a thorough analysis of the facts at disposal. Partially we agree with the opinion of Prof. Haditsch about the change of procedures of re-animation, which currently allows artificial respiration only through a tissue, which increases the risk lethal consequences and, thereby increasing mortality rates, and we consider it necessary to cancel this innovation. However, this measure is not capable to substantially decrease COVID-19 mortality rates, as the terrifying figures stems from the above described WHO recommendations (“Emergency use ICD codes for COVID-19 disease outbreak”) regarding the administering of disease and mortality statistics. The overturn of these recommendations and the introduction of adequate statistical measures would instantly lead to a sharp decrease in the level of panic sentiment and the gradual disappearance of the pandemic of fear, and, of course, it would bring and and to present social, humanitarian, mental, economic and political crises.

At the same time, the HOG categorically disagrees with the calls of the Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee to organize mass protests and demonstrations, to “go out to the streets and become active.” According to the HOG, protest against authorities through street demonstrations are simply manifestations of primitive instincts. Such events lead to the transformation of individuals into a crowd, which, as a rule, behaves in a destructive way, which eventually leads to negative consequences instead of the expected results. Obviously, global problems would probably not have existed for a while, if demonstrations were able to bring a positive practical effect. As L. N. Tolstoy wrote, “even if a crowd consists of good people, they come to contact only with their animalistic sides, thus they can only express weakness and cruelty of human nature.”

If Germany is in fact a democratic state, if the power really belongs to the people and the constitution and other legislative norms guarantee the fundamental rights of citizens, if the rule of law actually exists in Germany and the investigation of the Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee is factually and legally sound, in this case, German citizens must be able to collect the necessary number of votes to express their distrust of the government without any street protests and demonstrations. They should also be able to convey their will to the country's leadership through Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee, for instance.

If violations of the Constitution are obvious, if the power-holders violate their obligations to the people who elected them, and also violate the norms of national and international legislation, it means that the level of humanity in society and the state is being reduced. Thus, citizens instead of useless demonstrations should direct their efforts to the revival and spreading of humane values, as it is the key to the well-being of society and also serves as a basis of the existence of a reasonable and fair state power.

Despite the above, the HOG fully agrees with the opinion of the Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee that since the competent authorities have not launched an investigation


to date, citizens need to initiate the investigation themselves rather than wait for parliament or other authorities to take up the idea.

In general, the HOG welcomes the humanistic goals and intentions of the founders of the COVID-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee, but believes that the Committee will achieve its goals only if it recognizes that the root cause of the current global crisis is not the COVID-19 pandemic, but a pandemic of fear provoked by, among other things, the above mentioned erroneous recommendations of the WHO. The most important, according to the HOG, is the realization that the era of Homo sapiens has become obsolete and that it should be transformed into the era of Homo humanus.

CHAPTER XIII

About the book «The Corona. False alarm? Facts and Figures»

In October 2020, two renowned medical scientists Sucharit Bhakdi and Karina Reiss published a book titled “The Corona. False alarm? Facts and Figures”¹⁷.



The main postulates of the book:


1. The new Coronavirus is just the harmless, common cold.
2. The mortality rate is 0.01%, ten times less than that of influenza.
3. The WHO exaggerates the mortality 340 times, saying the COVID-19 has a mortality rate of 3.4%. This high number is associated with mortality, which has nothing to do with the new coronavirus.

The authors quote mortality statistics of Germany from May 2020 for people over 80 years of age, gathered by Stanford University Professor J.P.A. Ioannidis, according to whom in this age group only 8 people out of 1200 fell victim to Covid-19.

The authors of this book claim that doctors around the world overreact to the situation, burdening the healthcare sector¹⁸. Politicians highly overreact, limiting personal freedoms, and accept such measures that compromise quality of life and shorten life expectancy, thus greatly harming the economy. The fear that grips people increases psychosomatic symptoms, creating the impression that COVID-19 is a dangerous new disease.

¹⁷ https://www.amazon.com/Sucharit-Bhakdi/e/B086V8YY1Y%3Fref=dbs_a_mng_rwt_scns_share

¹⁸ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YWOLsC31grI> ; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xDYUPjqNerU>



Countries around the world are currently closing borders with the emergence of the deadly new coronavirus, which is reportedly killing thousands of people. Every day, the media publishes new death figures of COVID-19 in every country. “Emergency” legislation is passed almost on a daily basis everywhere, which dictates the closure of schools, businesses and organisations, restaurants and cultural institutions, virtually halting production and sending millions of workers home. More than 2 billion people globally have been quarantined. People are suffering, the economy is suffering and personal freedom as a whole is limited. This was the sad state of the world on March 26, 2020.

A new test, which has recently appeared on the market, allows the tracking of the spreading of the virus. At the same time, this test is not been scientifically proven and appears to have serious shortcomings, to be non-specific and inaccurate. However, science has no doubt that the new virus spreads all around the world.

New viruses appear constantly, which is not a problem, if they are not dangerous. Leading scientists in medicine and infectional biology, e.g. Professor S. Buckdi, Professor J. P. A. Ioannidis and Professor J. Bhattacharya confirm the same: *“There is no scientific evidence to back the claim that the new COVID-19 should be dangerous; therefore we must assume, that it is just as harmless as the common cold we had last year, and the year before, and the year before that, and every year since it first appeared. It is the logic of science: If you do not know anything specific, you have turn to the simplest explanation, namely what you are facing today is just the normal thing, just like what you faced yesterday. This follows from the famous principle of Occam’s razor.”*

If this is true, what about the horrible statistics you see in the media every day? Are all these death counts not documenting the horrible viral mortality? No, says Wodarg, Bhakdi, and Ioannidis, again in perfect agreement:

“These horrible numbers are scary, but when you take a closer look they are just a product of inadequate structuring of statistics, poor quality of collected data and severely manipulated interpretations of the statistics.”

All normal scientific indicators of common cold and flu, which are still available in most countries, show no signs of any abnormal virus attack on us. There is no documented over-mortality, not even in Italy, where the Coronavirus reports say that 7% of the infected have died. When Wodarg, Bhakdi, and Ioannidis calculated the mortality rate from the available numbers, they all found the same low mortality rate for COVID-19 is 0.01%. This is 10 times less than the mortality rate for a normal flu.

The information about a deadly COVID-19 pandemic comes from the World Health Organization (WHO), which claims that the new virus has a mortality of 3.4% based on national statistics, which are collected under WHO guidance.

* * *

With respect to the above statements of the authors of “Corona. False Alarm?” the Humanistic Outlook Group believes that if the mortality rate from COVID-19 is really 0.01%, which is ten times less than that of the influenza, whereas the World Health Organisation claims that the mortality rate from COVID-19 is 3.4%, then the WHO has exaggerated this figure by a factor of 340 (!). This disorienting, misleading information has, in turn, caused the most extreme and massive human rights violation in recent history. According to the HOG, this alone is enough for political, public and other entities and organisations, including the above detailed Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee and the “Coronavirus Commission” of R. Fuellmich to immediately raise the issue of the removal of the Director-General and other leading officials of the World Health Organisation from office.

CHAPTER XIV

Assessment of Situation by Professor I.A. Gundarov

I.A. Gundarov, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Professor, specialist in the field of epidemiology and medical statistics believes that the new coronavirus infection is not as dangerous as the “total psychosis”, which must be dealt with. This is what Professor I.A. Gundarov has to say about it:

«This is the mania of persecution of death, obsessive fear. You say that [people] are dying. Not even close, they don't die. The death rate from pneumonia this year is lower than last year.

The second symptom: illogical thinking. Suddenly, the logic completely broke and everyone ran for toilet paper and bought up buckwheat. Logic has disappeared.

The third symptom: split consciousness. The Federal Service for Surveillance on Consumer Rights says in one hemisphere: “the epidemiological situation in the country is normal.” <...> And the right hemisphere says: “death, death, death everywhere, masks and gloves urgently, and do not to go anywhere.”

The fourth symptom: emotional dullness. The old people are locked up. They chase individual people without masks in parks with truncheons “– all this, according to the professor, indicates a “pandemic of political schizophrenia.”

I.A. Gundarov continues: “According to my observations, if we take long-term statistical indicators of mortality from pneumonia, there is a pattern: every year, waves of mortality occur at a very specific time. Moreover, regardless of the preventive efforts undertaken, each of the waves lasts about two months – a month on the rise and a month on the decline.

The first wave starts in the middle of September-October – this is the wave we see today. It will peak by the end of October, and then it will decline. The next rise in mortality will be at the end of December, and will peak in January. We can assume that during this period Russian authorities will also blame citizens for non-compliance with preventive measures. Finally, the third wave will hit in March and will transit to April.

These waves are repeated every year – with the utmost precision. The year 2020, I believe, will be no exception. Pneumonia occurs not only from coronavirus, but also from other diseases – staphylococcus, streptococcus, influenza A, influenza B. So, among all those who died from pneumonia, Coronavirus accounts only for 1% of cases. Based on these figures, the current influx of fear about the coronavirus is a storm in a teacup, which is sold us as a real storm. It is extremely difficult to falsify the pneumonia mortality statistics. As for the coronavirus, we should look at the dynamics of mortality from pneumonia – and it has not grown. Now the mortality rate from pneumonia is even lower than in 2019.

That is why I say: what is happening today is just panic and psychosis. I note that outbreaks of new infections have occurred before – swine flu, bird flu, Ebola. But in all these cases, no draconian quarantine measures were taken. How, then, the current situation is different from the previous ones? I think it is because very powerful carriers of information appeared, the social networks. And in addition, the mass media has become more commercialized, and the greater the panic, the more visits their web pages receive, and greater funds are received from advertising. In short, it is profitable to inflate panic today. The situation of anxiety, fear and danger causes an increase in mortality from other diseases. The body's protective capacity decreases, the immune system weakens, people begin to abuse alcohol, and as a result, an increase in deaths occur from heart attacks, strokes, and undesirable accidents. In my opinion, this is how the growth in the overall mortality rate can be explained.”

CHAPTER XV

Natural Means of Treatment and Prevention of COVID-19 and the Opinion of S. Khalilov

In ancient times, when chemical medicines did not yet exist, people were treated exclusively with natural remedies and guided by common sense. Unfortunately, after the culture of natural medicine began to gradually be replaced by artificial drugs, the intuitive desire for treatment with exclusively natural remedies was preserved only in animals (for example, in a BBC video you can see how animals seek to get to the necessary natural remedies, despite all dangers and difficulties)¹⁹.

According to the HOG, it leads to serious perplexity that the World Health Organization refuses to recognize the effectiveness of natural remedies and the prevention of new coronavirus infection.²⁰ In this regard, the opinion of candidate of medical sciences Shuhrat Khalilov is rather interesting. According to him:

“The main danger of the COVID– 19 virus is that it, first of all, affects the immune system. And only then, in its second phase, affects lung tissue.

The lag-time between these two waves of attack is what we call the ‘incubation period’. We often use words like ‘strong’ or ‘weak’ immunity. However, most people in fact do not know what that is in terms of actual immunity. Moreover, most doctors have very little idea of the structure of the immune system and the functionality of its individual parts. <...>

However, this text if written in scientific form would be very difficult for the untrained reader to fully appreciate. I will try to explain in a plain thesis format the mechanism of the effect of the COVID– 19 virus on the human body.

Eighty percent (80%) of the entire human immune system is concentrated in the mucosa and submucosa layers of the small intestine in the form of lymphoid tissue and its clusters, which is also called ‘Peyer’s patches’. Lymphoid tissue produces antibodies (lymphocytes T-, B-, G-, etc.), as well as various types of immunoglobulins. The antibodies enter the inferior vena cava through the lymphatic system, then the heart and having passed through the small circle of blood circulation, they enter the systemic circulation and are carried throughout the body. In this way tissue immunity is strengthened, including own tissue immunity of the lung tissue. COVID– 19, mixing with food during meals, enters the intestines and begins to destroy lymphoid tissue. As a result, the affected lymphoid tissue ceases to produce lymphocytes and immunoglobulins. Thus, the immune system is destroyed and, as a result, tissue immunity in the lungs is then dramatically weakened. From this moment onwards, the second wave of the coronavirus attack begins on the now unprotected lung tissue, which is manifests in severe pneumonia, which often leads to lethal outcome. Currently, all anti-epidemic measures are aimed at preventing the coronavirus from entering the lungs, which, in principle, is extremely costly and practically ineffective. However, all therapeutic measures are aimed only at combating complications in the form of pneumonia. At the same time, it should be understood that COVID– 19 will remain a threat for many years and even decades. What we have here today is just the first wave of the pandemic. There will be many such waves still to come.

At the same time, all preventive and therapeutic measures are aimed at coronavirus localized in the lungs, whereas initial effects of the coronavirus, localized in the intestines is not addressed. In other words, “the cart is placed in front of the horse”. There are many natural products that have more than just bactericidal properties, but also directly affect

19 BBC Video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RG9TMn1FJzc>

20 WHO recommendations in relation to the spread of the new coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Mythbusters – <https://www.who.int/ru/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public/myth-busters>

such viruses by killing them. The most effective in this regard is GARLIC. If you drink 2/3 of a cup of water infused with raw garlic daily, then it immediately enters the small intestine and begins to kill COVID- 19. This will have the following effects:

- The ‘killed’ COVID- 19 ceases to destroy the lymphoid tissue in the small intestine. As a result, the lymphoid tissue continues to properly perform its function – i.e. produce antibodies and immunoglobulins;

- The damaged immune system quickly recovers and strengthens, which leads to a significant increase in tissue immunity in the lung tissue. It forms an insurmountable barrier not only for COVID- 19, but also for germs (like staphylococcus, etc.) and fungi, which also pose a significant hazard in case of pneumonia, caused by the coronavirus;

- As ‘garlic water’ takes effect, a very interesting chain of events occurs in the small intestine. COVID- 19, ‘killed’ and weakened by the influence of ‘garlic water’ becomes nothing else than a natural vaccine, which is formed naturally inside the human body. It is well known, that the development of an artificial vaccine takes a very well equipped and very expensive laboratory with highly qualified personnel. The work on creating an artificial vaccine can take from 6 to 9 months, and industrial production is costly and time consuming. After taking ‘garlic water’ the natural vaccine is formed in the small intestine within 30-40 minutes and is completely free;

- The natural vaccine begins to actively form a specific immunity against COVID-19. Thus, the chain of destructive pathological events in the body caused by COVID- 19 is interrupted and destroyed at the very start and cannot develop further.

The body in a most natural way, so to speak, and in an organized manner will fight and defeat COVID- 19. At the same time, an emergency and stressful mode of struggle on the part of the body is completely excluded, and it counteracts the virus in a planned mode. As a result, the epidemic is terminated in a matter of days. Taking “garlic water” as an anti-epidemic protocol can be applied for one day throughout the country among the entire population. The underlying pathogenic morphological substrate disappears completely in a matter of days.

The method for preparing ‘garlic water’ is extremely simple. Start in the morning:

- 1. Cut one peeled garlic clove in half in a transverse direction, put in a liter volume porcelain teapot and pour 2/3 of a cup of room temperature water on it. Let it rest.**

- 2. By evening, the ‘garlic water’ is ready for use. Remove the garlic slices. Drink that 2/3 of a cup 3 to 4 hours after your last meal before bedtime.**

- 3. The next day, the procedure for preparing ‘garlic water’ needs to be repeated with a fresh clove of garlic.**

- 4. Continue to take garlic water daily for one month. During this time, specific immunity against COVID- 19 will be formed.”**

CHAPTER XVI

The Danger of Using COVID-19 Protective Masks

The World Health Organization considers wearing masks to be the main means of combating the spread of coronavirus infection²¹ and recommends their mandatory universal use. The WHO recommendations of March 16, 2020 state that “The long-term use of medical masks, if correctly worn, does not result in carbon dioxide intoxication or oxygen deficiency”.

In the meantime, the HOG believes that people’s use of protective masks can cause serious long-term health consequences, primarily in terms of weakened immunity.

Head of the Department of Microbiology, Virology and Immunology of the Sechenov Medical University, Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences V.V. Zverev²² unequivocally spoke out against wearing protective masks on the street:

“When I see children wearing masks in the street, I want to ask a question – who will treat their emphysema after wearing this mask? Or when people ride their bikes wearing a mask... There is no virus flying in the street. If you keep a social distance, nothing will be transmitted to you. <...> In the street, the mask is not just useless, it is harmful. And after two hours [it] turns from a means of protection into a means of spreading the infection: in addition to the coronavirus, we have a mass of everything else in the air – bacteria, fungi, which settle on the mask and then infect you. And grandmothers, for example, will not buy new masks, they will use one all the time.”

In this regard, the scientist warned about the possibility of increase in such diseases as lung emphysema, bronchial asthma, fungal, allergic, bacterial diseases as a result of the introduction of “mask” and “glove” regime.

The authors of the aforementioned book, K. Reiss and S. Bhakdi, also believe that there are significant health risks associated with wearing masks, especially for people with lung disease, cardiovascular disease, and those prone to anxiety and panic attacks.

21 Coronavirus: the WHO recommends wearing masks in public places – BBC News <https://www.bbc.com/news/health-52945210>


22 As a member of the Russian Academy of Sciences he made a statement about negative effects of long-term mask and glove wearing (interfax.ru) <https://www.interfax.ru/russia/710644>

CHAPTER XVII

The impact of the pandemic on the global economy


As a result of the fear pandemic and the quarantine measures taken by most states, an unprecedented economic crisis has erupted in the world. It should be noted that this crisis negatively affects not only small, medium and even large businesses, but also causes significant damage to the economies of many countries around the world.

Contrary to the opinion of those who assumed that the COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting closure of the U.S. and European borders would have a restraining or even negative impact on the development of China, it turned out that the greatest damage was done to the American and European economies, whereas China is showing growth in gross national product and increase in exports, including the fact that it is virtually the only supplier of protection against COVID-19 and a major exporter of relevant medical equipment. For comparison the GDP growth statistics for 2019 and 2020 are shown below²³:



GDP growth rate in 2019

23 https://www.imf.org/external/datamapper/NGDP_RPCH@WEO/BRA?year=2020



GDP growth rate in 2020

TRADING ECONOMICS		Calendar News Indicators Markets Forecasts				
GDP Annual Growth Rate - Forecast 2020-2022 G20						
World	Europe	America	Asia	Africa	Australia	G20
Country	Last		Q4/20	Q1/21	Q2/21	Q3/21
Argentina	-19.10	Jun/20	-12	-6	15	9
Australia	-6.30	Jun/20	-5	-2.5	5.3	4
<u>Brazil</u>	-11.40	Jun/20	-5.5	0.6	5	3.5
Canada	-13.00	Jun/20	-5.7	1.1	12	6
China	4.90	Sep/20	3.5	4.4	5.1	5.3
Euro Area	-4.30	Sep/20	-4.8	-0.6	12.6	7.5
France	-4.30	Sep/20	-3.8	2.5	15.1	9.5
Germany	-4.30	Sep/20	-6	-2	9.2	7.9
India	-23.90	Jun/20	-4	2.5	15	8.5
Indonesia	-5.32	Jun/20	3.3	5.2	8.5	6.5
Italy	-4.70	Sep/20	-4	1	16.2	9.5
Japan	-9.90	Jun/20	-3	2	8.5	4
Mexico	-8.60	Sep/20	-6.5	-2	10	8
Netherlands	-9.40	Jun/20	-3.4	-1.2	9.5	4.9
Russia	-8.00	Jun/20	-2.2	1.1	7.5	3.6
Saudi Arabia	-7.00	Jun/20	2.5	2.2	4.5	3.5
Singapore	-7.00	Sep/20	-0.8	3.6	11	3.8
South Africa	-17.10	Jun/20	-8.2	-3.5	2	3.5
South Korea	-2.70	Jun/20	0.6	1.2	3.5	3.5
Spain	-8.70	Sep/20	-6.2	-0.4	14.5	8.1
Switzerland	-8.30	Jun/20	-3.2	2.5	7.5	3.7
Turkey	-9.90	Jun/20	-4.2	3.8	10.5	6.1
United Kingdom	-21.50	Jun/20	-6.4	-2.3	17.5	7.5
United States	-2.90	Sep/20	1	2.3	3.4	3.7

GDP growth statistics for G-20 countries²⁴

As can be seen from the above data, in 2020 economic growth took place only in China. It is also revealing that the economic situation is favourable in African countries, as well, where China has initiated and is developing the construction of seaports (Angola, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Congo, Cote d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nigeria, Senegal, South Africa, Sudan, Tanzania, Togo). This is reminiscent of the time when Western countries waged a Cold War against the USSR and the countries of socialist commonwealth, and one of the means was to encourage economic development of China.

The current situation benefits not only the pharmaceutical industry (which is obvious), but also businesses specializing in online commerce. While many retail stores in Europe and the U.S. are closing or limiting their activities, online retailers (primarily Chinese) are triple enriched, because in addition to manufacturer's profit they make a wholesaler's profit, as well as the profit from retail sales. Besides, they have no expenses for rent and operation of premises in shopping centres, wages of employees, etc.

Quarantine measures also suit those large companies that are interested in gradually replacing their workforce with robots, thus reducing their costs and increasing their profits.

This way, human intimidation (pandemic fear) and quarantine measures results in the further enrichment of some at the cost of impoverishing others.

CHAPTER XVIII

The Ineffectiveness of Current Restrictive Measures to Combat the Pandemic

Various restrictive measures, including partial or full lockdowns, were introduced, cancelled and re-introduced in various countries around the world for almost a year now, but the COVID-19 pandemic, according to official data, is not only not subsided, but is growing with renewed vigour. What is the reason for this situation? Why international conferences are not convened, as they did in the past, for example, in connection with cholera, plague and yellow fever epidemics in the 19th and the first half of the 20th centuries?

It is noteworthy that from 1851 to 1938 there 14 such conferences were held with the intention, among other things, to standardize international quarantine rules. Thus, at the International Sanitary Conference in March 1893 in Dresden it was decided to reduce the previously used 40-day quarantine to 6 days (new rules were introduced to eradicate corruption at ports).

On December 3, 1903, the International Sanitary Convention was signed, pursuant to Article 37, Section IV (Measures at land frontiers. – Travellers. – Railways. – Frontier tracts – Riverways) “*Land quarantine must no longer be resorted to. Only such persons as show symptoms of plague or of cholera may be detained at frontiers. This principle does not deprive a State of the right to close a portion of its frontiers in case of need*”.

The question arises why, 117 years later, the World Health Organization is not taking into account the world’s previous experience and declare a COVID-19 pandemic without establishing uniform rules for restrictive measures (including the length of quarantine) that would stop the spread of the infection and prevent lockdowns, which turn into mass violations of citizens’ rights and freedoms?

Due to the incompetence of WHO, some countries initiated their own measures, which again could not be effective. For example, Austria first imposed an intensified quarantine regime on October 31, 2020, followed by a lockdown two weeks later²⁵, under which schools, service establishments, and stores were closed in the country and nobody was allowed to leave his home without a substantial reason (travel to work, assistance to relatives, or outdoor recreation).

According to the HOG, in order to effectively combat COVID-19, it would be most appropriate to agree on a single, 7-day general quarantine in all countries affected by the pandemic. This quarantine must be organised as follows:

- All institutions and organisations, including food shops, must be closed (except for pharmacies, hospitals, police and fire stations).
- During the quarantine period, state borders must be completely closed, including for international freight traffic.
- During the period of restriction, the state must provide all citizens with the necessary goods: food, medicines, etc.
- The state must be obliged to give two weeks’ notice to all citizens of the entry into force of the quarantine measures.
- The state must be obliged to provide medical, psychological and other necessary assistance to those who need it.

Such a move, according to HOG, would end the spread of COVID-19 globally and thus contribute to overcoming the crisis it has caused.

CHAPTER XIX

The pandemic of fear and proposed measures for its timely termination

The current global economic, financial, social, political and humanitarian crisis is widely believed to have been caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. This was explicitly stated in a World Health Organization press release on May 1, 2020: “*the world is facing unprecedented health, social and economic shocks from COVID-19*”

However, HOG believes that the real cause is a worldwide pandemic of panic and fear, caused in turn by a number of factors, most notably the actions of the World Health Organisation.

Firstly, WHO was incapable to prevent the global spread of a new coronavirus infection and, subsequently, failed to provide a single method of effective treatment and timely development of a vaccine against COVID-19. In addition, WHO’s recommendations on quarantine and other restrictive measures have proved to be totally ineffective and, moreover, have resulted in massive human rights violations around the world.

Irresponsible and alarmist statements disseminated by WHO have contributed and continue to contribute to escalation of this fear. For example, the already mentioned May 1, 2020 press release raised the possibility of new pandemics in the future. On November 16, 2020, the WHO director general suggested that “a vaccine alone will not end the pandemic.” On November 19, 2020, the European Regional Director of the WHO stated that “in Europe, a person dies every 17 seconds from COVID-19.”

The main negative role, however, according to HOG, was played by the erroneous WHO recommendations of March 25, 2020 on usage of ICD codes in the world practice, according to which the statistics of COVID-19 infected should include patients and deaths for which the laboratory examination was not available or such examination was not conducted at all. The application of these recommendations on the WHO website and in national statistics resulted in horrifying numbers of diseases and deaths from COVID-19, which, naturally, was widely replicated by the mass media around the world.

In this regard, the HOG, in order to end the pandemic of fear proposes the immediate removal of the above-mentioned codes from WHO’s International Classification of Diseases” (2019) and “International guidelines for certification and classification (coding) of COVID-19 as cause of death” (2020) according to which the statistics of COVID-19 infections include data of patients and deceased persons for whom the laboratory examination were inconclusive with regard to the infection or no such examination was performed at all.

In this case, the national health authorities would only include in the COVID-19 statistics those cases in which the laboratory test clearly indicated the presence of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, and in the COVID-19 death statistics only those cases in which the autopsy clearly showed that the cause of death was COVID-19 disease.

The result will be objective rather than distorted information about what is happening in the world due to the spread of COVID-19.

It would be advisable, in general, to enshrine in national and international legislation norms prohibiting the dissemination of information that may cause alarmism, panic, fear, and lead to ill-considered actions by the authorities that may result in massive human rights violations. Such norms should apply both to official bodies, as well as to the media, to members of the academic community, and others.

The current pandemic of fear would certainly be ended by the creation of a publicly accessible WHO database for the most dangerous diseases including the number of cases and deaths from them over the past 5 years, which would give a complete and realistic picture of what the real health challenges and threats to humanity are.

However, in HOG's view, the proposed urgent action required by the current situation does not negate the urgent need to initiate a radical reform of the World Health Organization. First and foremost, the founding documents of the WHO must be amended in order to allow the organization to finally achieve the primary goal set forth in Article 1 of its Constitution, *"the attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health."*

If the WHO desires *"to act as the directing and co-ordinating authority on international health work"* (Article 2 (a) of the Constitution), the organization must clearly state its accountability for failing to achieve its goals, as well as for specific wrong actions leading to negative consequences in the field of global health. Unfortunately, so far, the WHO Constitution only provides for the safeguarding of its own interests.

According to article 7 of the WHO Constitution, WHO has the right to *"If a Member fails to meet its financial obligations to the Organization or in other exceptional circumstances, the Health Assembly may, on such conditions as it thinks proper, suspend the voting privileges and services to which a Member is entitled. The Health Assembly shall have the authority to restore such voting privileges and services."*

Pursuant to Article 2(b) of the WHO Constitution, the activities of the WHO shall *"establish and maintain effective collaboration with the United Nations, specialized agencies, governmental health administrations, professional groups and such other organizations as may be deemed appropriate,"* and under paragraph (g) of Article 2 *"stimulate and advance work to eradicate epidemics, endemics and other diseases"* however, in practice this is not the case. In this regard, the HOG considers it crucial for the WHO not only to make decisions, but also to closely monitor and incorporate comments and recommendations from a wide range of doctors, biologists, immunologists and other specialists from member states, and to be as open as possible to consider initiatives by and collaborate with non-governmental organisations.

If the activities of the WHO are not properly reformed in the near future, then it seems that the issue of its dissolution and the creation of a new international organization in the field of health will become relevant. According to the HOG, such an organization would have to unite, on a voluntary basis, the efforts of the most authoritative scientists and specialists who, in contrast to the WHO officials, would unselfishly be interested in their work. The new organization and all its actions should be as open and transparent as possible.

CONCLUSION

It is well known that throughout its history mankind has been accompanied by disasters and upheavals – wars, revolutions, political, economic and social crises. According to the HOG, the root cause of all current global problems lies in the absence of humanism, the disappearance of the instinct for solidarity, and, conversely, the spread of such vices as the insatiable thirst for wealth and the desire to act evil. All this together creates and sustains a so-called virtual world-view, in which people lose their common sense and humane values because of the influence of stereotypes imposed on them.

In fact, we still haven't figured out what humanism and humanity are all about. We do not know what true happiness is, we do not know what a humane society is or how to create one, but we do know many ways to exploit our own kind, chaining them in invisible chains because of their ignorance.

Terrible realities, such as slavery, feudalism, capitalism, dictatorship that we thought that remained in the past – are in fact still around today. What we are currently witnessing in relation to COVID-19 could well be called “covidism,” in which human rights are trampled on as with impunity as under feudalism and capitalism -just point to the massive human rights violations in 2020, in particular the restrictions on freedom of movement and social contact. Quarantine measures violate Articles 1; 3; 4; 5; 7; 9; 13; 17; 28 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. For example, Article 7, directed against discrimination, is violated because endless and ineffective lockdowns harm primarily those involved in tourism, the catering business, the organisation of sporting and other cultural events, as well as the millions of people who have been who find themselves deprived of these leisure activities. Article 18, which affirms the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion is violated because hundreds of millions of believers worldwide are prevented by quarantine measures from visiting synagogues, mosques, churches and practising religious practices. Not to mention that the 11 months of restrictions are in direct contravention of Article 28, which guarantees social and international order, and article 1, according to which “All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights.

According to the HOG, the only real possibility to end the existing crisis and prevent the emergence of new ones is an immediate change in international and national legal norms. First of all, it is necessary to introduce provisions into international and national law criminalizing the actions / omissions of persons or organizations that have led to the emergence of an economic, financial, social, political, humanitarian crisis.

Acts that caused crises and consequently resulted in significant harm to many people should be qualified by both national and international law as massive violations of human rights. In particular, new norms should clearly establish liability for the violation of property rights and provide mechanisms for the restoration of such rights and provide compensation for damages.

At present, if one person or group of persons misappropriates someone's property, the law provides for the punishment of the offender and the return of property. But in cases of large-scale violations of property rights due to economic crises, neither national nor international law provides for any measures to prevent such situations and to ensure the restoration of property rights to the victims.

According to the HOG, the absence of these norms in national and international law was the real cause of the economic depression of the 1920's in the US and Germany, the social and economic catastrophe of the 1990s in the former Soviet Union and the socialist commonwealth, as well as the permanent problems in African economies and the global financial crisis of 2008. The absence of these norms in national and international law was also a major factor in the dramatic situation that the world faces in 2020 in connection with COVID-19.

It is regrettable that international organizations, especially the United Nations, show astonishing helplessness in the face of global crises whose negative consequences affect all humanity. Moreover, the 75 years of the UN's existence have demonstrated its inability to achieve its primary goal "*To achieve international co-operation in solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character*" (Article 1 of the UN Charter). This circumstance clearly proves the need for amendments and additions to the UN Charter, and possibly to the fundamental documents of other international organizations as well.

In this regard, it seems crucial that international organizations, especially the UN, despite their status as intergovernmental structures, to be as open as possible to cooperation and interaction with civil society organizations, civic initiatives, etc. The problem is that, at present, proposals by non-governmental organizations cannot be considered by the UN without being formally put on the agenda by the permanent representative of one of its member states – even if such a proposal concerns urgent solutions to the world's most urgent problems.

In addition to amending the UN Charter to make it more open to civic initiatives, it is necessary to include provisions in its rules of procedure and other documents that would eliminate the formally bureaucratic approach of its staff and stipulate their personal responsibility in cases when the decision taken by them undermines the UN's efforts to achieve its objectives. Individuals should also be held accountable when their decision on a petition undermines the United Nations efforts to achieve its objectives as envisaged in its Charter, or could even lead to the violation of human rights, set in the UN Charter, or may even lead to serious global upheavals.

An example of irresponsibility and inaction in this regard is the response of the UN Secretary General's Office to the December 11, 2018 letter which contained a forecast of expected global crises (the address of the HOG and their response are given in Chapters III and IV). If only the UN Charter and other documents had provided for more openness and flexibility in the organization's activities, the proposals developed by the HOG could have been submitted on time to the relevant structures of the UN, and their practical implementation would have made it possible to avoid the crisis of 2020 and its dire consequences.

In conclusion, the HOG remains open to cooperate widely and constructively with all international and civil society organizations in promoting humanism as the basis for fundamental positive change in all spheres of human life. This is the aim of the HOG's program of transition from the era of Homo sapiens to the era of Homo humanus, which includes both short-term and long-term projects in various areas of our society.

The implementation of this program would seem to be a decisive factor in eradicating disasters and upheavals such as war, terrorism, and economic and social disasters, as well as preventing the emergence of new more formidable problems in the future, that threaten our civilization.

The HOG hopes that the program it has developed will be met with an enthusiastic response by international agencies, national governments, civil society organizations, and by all those who care about the fate of humanity.

HUMANISTIC



OUTLOOK GROUP

PANDEMIC OF FEAR – 2020

FORMAL REASONS, REAL REASONS AND CONSEQUENCES

FORMAL REASON

Outbreak of SARS-COV-2 coronavirus infection in Wuhan (China) in December of 2019

REAL REASON

- Technical and methodological errors of the WHO on March 25, 2020 "Emergency use ICD codes for COVID-19 disease outbreak", which provoked a threatening rise in COVID-19 infection and mortality statistics
- WHO states in a press-release on May 1, 2020 that new pandemics are possible in the future
- Extensive dissemination of false statistics through the mass media
- Ill-conceived restrictions and lockdowns
- Lack of legal provisions penalizing the spreading of pandemic of fear-mongering

RESULT 1

Emergence of the pandemic of fear

RESULT 2

Emergence of global political, economic, financial, social, humanitarian crises

RESULT 3

The transfer of property of the victims to the creators of the crisis

THE POSSIBILITY OF PREVENTING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A TOTALITARIAN WORLD ORDER

Transitioning from the era of the Homo sapiens to the era of the Homo humanus

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	4
CHAPTER I Failure of the World Health Organization to achieve the goals set forth in its own Constitution undermines the success of the UN in achieving the goals set forth in the UN Charter.....	6
CHAPTER II Humanistic Outlook Group.....	8
CHAPTER III HOG forecast of expected crises and Address to the UN Secretary General in December 2018	8
CHAPTER IV UN response to the HOG Address of December 11, 2018	10
CHAPTER V Developments of 2020 that confirmed the Forecasts of the HOG and addressing of permanent representatives of UN Member states on April 5 2020	11
CHAPTER VI The Fate of the HOG Letter dated April 5, 2020	14
CHAPTER VII The HOG Address to the Heads of State of the "G20" and the Leaders of countries of the European Union on April 30, 2020	15
CHAPTER VIII The HOG Address to leaders of Russia, USA, China, Germany, Great Britain, Belarus on June 15, 2020	23
CHAPTER IX Statements by R. Fuellmich	29
CHAPTER X The Position of the HOG on R. Fuellmich's Statements.....	39
CHAPTER XI The Position of Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee on Covid-19	41
CHAPTER XII Opinion of the HOG Regarding the Statements of H. Schöning and Other Members of the Covid-19 Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee.....	46
CHAPTER XIII About the book "The Corona. False alarm? Facts and Figures"	47
CHAPTER XIV Assessment of Situation by Professor I.A. Gundarov	50
CHAPTER XV Natural Means of Treatment and Prevention of COVID-19 and the Opinion of S. Khalilov	51
CHAPTER XVI The Danger of Using COVID-19 Protective Masks	53
CHAPTER XVII The impact of the pandemic on the global economy.....	54
CHAPTER XVIII The Ineffectiveness of Current Restrictive Measures to Combat the Pandemic.....	58
CHAPTER XIX The pandemic of fear and proposed measures for its timely termination.....	59
CONCLUSION	61